



Special article

[Translated article] Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of antibiotic and antifungal drugs in paediatric and newborn patients. Consensus Guidelines of the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH) and the Spanish Society of Paediatric Infectious Diseases (SEIP)☆



Sonia Luque^{a,b,c,1}, Natalia Mendoza-Palomar^{d,e,f,*1}, David Aguilera-Alonso^{g,h,i,j}, Beatriz Garrido^{k,l}, Marta Miarons^{k,m}, Ana Isabel Piqueras^{n,o}, Enrique Tévar^{p,q}, Eneritz Velasco-Arnaiz^{r,s} and Aurora Fernández-Polo^{a,t,f}

^a Grupo de trabajo de Atención Farmacéutica en Enfermedades Infecciosas de la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH), Madrid, Spain

^b Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria, Hospital del Mar, Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta, 25, 29, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

^c Infectious Pathology and Antimicrobials Research Group (IPAR), Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain

^d Grupo de trabajo de Infección Fúngica Invasiva de la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica (SEIP), Madrid, Spain

^e Unidad de Patología Infecciosa e Immunodeficiencias de Pediatría, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 119-129, 08035 Barcelona, Spain

^f Grupo de investigación "Infección e inmunidad en el paciente pediátrico", Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca, Edifici Collserola Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Pg. de la Vall d'Hebron, 129, 08035 Barcelona, Spain

^g Grupo de trabajo de Infecciones Bacterianas de la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica (SEIP), Madrid, Spain

^h Sección Enfermedades Infecciosas Pediátricas, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, C. del Dr. Esquerdo, 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain

ⁱ Área de Enfermedades Infecciosas Pediátricas. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red del Instituto de Salud Carlos III (CIBERINFEC), Madrid, Spain

^j Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón (IISGM), Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, C. del Dr. Esquerdo, 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain

^k Grupo de trabajo de Pediatría de la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH), Madrid, Spain

^l Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria, Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, Ctra. Madrid-Cartagena, s/n, 30120 El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

^m Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria, Consorci Hospitalari de Vic, Rda Francesc Camprodón, 4, 08500 Vic, Barcelona, Spain

ⁿ Grupo de trabajo de Infecciones Relacionadas con la Asistencia Sanitaria de la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica (SEIP), Madrid, Spain

^o Unidad Infectología Pediátrica, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico de La Fe, Avinguda de Fernando Abril Martorell, 106, 46026 Valencia, Spain

^p Grupo de trabajo de Farmacocinética y Farmacogenética de la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH), Madrid, Spain

^q Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria, Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de Candelaria, Ctra. Gral. del Rosario, 145, 38010 Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

^r Grupo de trabajo de Programas de Optimización del uso de Antimicrobianos (PROA) de la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica (SEIP), Madrid, Spain

^s Unidad de infectología pediátrica, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Pg. de Sant Joan de Déu, 2, 08950 Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

^t Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 119-129, 08035 Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received 13 November 2023

Accepted 8 February 2024

Keywords:

Therapeutic drug monitoring
Pharmacokinetics
Pharmacodynamics
Antibiotics
Antifungals
Paediatric
Newborn
Special populations

Therapeutic monitoring of antibiotics and antifungals based on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) parameters is a strategy increasingly used for the optimization of therapy to improve efficacy, reduce the occurrence of toxicities, and prevent the selection of antimicrobial resistance, particularly in vulnerable patients including neonates and the critical or immunocompromised paediatric host.

In neonates and children, infections account for a high percentage of hospital admissions, and anti-infectives are the most used drugs. However, paediatric PK/PD studies and the evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of some newly marketed antibiotics and antifungals—usually used off-label in paediatrics—to determine the optimal drug dosage regimens are limited. It is widely known that this population presents important differences in the PK parameters (especially in drug clearance and volume of distribution) in comparison with adults that may alter antimicrobial exposure and, therefore, compromise treatment success. In addition, paediatric patients are more susceptible to potential adverse drug effects and they need closer monitoring.

The aim of this document, developed jointly by the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy and the Spanish Society of Paediatric Infectious Diseases, is to describe the available evidence on the indications for therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of antibiotics and antifungals in newborn and paediatric patients, and to provide practical

☆ This article is published simultaneously in Anales de Pediatría (English Edition): <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpede.2024.05.001>, with the consent of the authors and editors.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farma.2024.02.012>.

* Corresponding author at: Unidad de Patología Infecciosa e Immunodeficiencias de Pediatría, Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 119-129, 08035 Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail addresses: sluque@psmar.cat (S. Luque), nataliaana.mendoza@vallhebron.cat (N. Mendoza-Palomar), pquieras_ana@gva.es (A.I. Piqueras), eneritz.velasco@sjd.es (E. Velasco-Arnaiz), aurora.fernandez@vallhebron.cat (A. Fernández-Polo).

¹ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

recommendations for TDM in routine clinical practice to optimise their dosing, efficacy and safety. Of antibiotics and antifungals in the paediatric population.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier España S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH) and Asociación Española de Pediatría. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Documento nacional de consenso de monitorización terapéutica de antibióticos y antifúngicos en el paciente pediátrico y neonatal de la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH) y la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica (SEIP)

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Monitorización terapéutica
Farmacocinética
Farmacodinamia
Antibióticos
Antifúngicos
Pediatría
Neonatos
Poblaciones especiales

La monitorización terapéutica de antibióticos y antifúngicos en el paciente pediátrico, basada en parámetros farmacocinéticos y farmacodinámicos (PK/PD), es una estrategia cada vez más utilizada para la optimización del tratamiento de infecciones con el fin de mejorar la eficacia, reducir la aparición de toxicidades y prevenir la selección de resistencias antimicrobianas, especialmente en poblaciones vulnerables como neonatos, pacientes críticos o inmunodeprimidos.

En la población neonatal y pediátrica, las infecciones representan un porcentaje elevado de los ingresos hospitalarios, siendo los antimicrobianos los fármacos más utilizados en este grupo poblacional. Sin embargo, establecer los regímenes posológicos óptimos en esta población es complejo debido a la menor evidencia respecto a la eficacia y seguridad de algunos antimicrobianos, especialmente en los de reciente comercialización que son utilizados habitualmente fuera de ficha técnica y al limitado número de estudios PK/PD disponibles en pediatría. Es bien conocido que el paciente pediátrico se caracteriza por presentar una serie de variaciones de los parámetros farmacocinéticos (como el aclaramiento o el volumen de distribución) debido a los propios cambios madurativos que pueden modificar la exposición a los antimicrobianos y comprometer el éxito del tratamiento. A su vez, es un grupo poblacional más susceptible a presentar potenciales efectos adversos a los fármacos, hecho que conlleva la necesidad de una monitorización más estricta.

El objetivo de este documento de consenso, elaborado entre la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH) y Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica (SEIP), es describir la evidencia disponible sobre las indicaciones de la monitorización terapéutica de antibióticos y antifúngicos en pacientes neonatales y pediátricos, y proporcionar recomendaciones prácticas para su monitorización y ajuste de dosis, con el fin de optimizar el tratamiento, maximizando su eficacia y seguridad.

© 2024 The Author(s). Publicado por Elsevier España S.L.U. en nombre de Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (SEFH) y Asociación Española de Pediatría. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Knowledge of the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) parameters of antibiotic or antifungal agents is essential in selecting appropriate antimicrobial treatments. In addition, their activity and susceptibility against the microorganisms involved, their penetration and diffusion at the infection site, and the patients' clinical conditions must also be taken into account. PK/PD indices help to optimise clinical and microbiological efficacy, minimise selective pressure for resistance development, and are crucial for selecting an appropriate dosing regimen.¹

Determining the plasma concentration of a drug provides an understanding of the exposure achieved with the administered dose and allows dosing adjustments according to the estimated PK/PD indices. This is called therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM), and is based on the premise that there is a quantitative and predictable relationship between blood drug concentrations and therapeutic or toxic response.²

Optimising dosing according to PK/PD parameters is particularly important in the paediatric population.³ Many physiological changes that occur during the first years of life cause large intra- and inter-individual variability in exposure after administration of a given dose (Table 1).³⁻⁵ Exposure may also be affected by diseases or clinical situations that can modify the PK profile of drugs, mainly by increasing or decreasing plasma clearance (CL) or volume of distribution (Vd). Other factors include drug interactions, abnormal renal or hepatic function, or certain interventions such as extra-renal CL techniques, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), or therapeutic hypothermia.⁶

Therefore, paediatric patients are a group in which antimicrobial TDM may play a key role, especially when there are comorbidities

and/or they are receiving therapies that affect drug PK. However, it is important to be aware of the current evidence regarding the need for monitoring individual antibiotics or antifungals. The criteria that justify the TDM of a given drug include the following aspects: a narrow therapeutic margin, significant inter- and intra-individual PK variability, and, ideally, a proven relationship between plasma concentrations and toxicity and/or efficacy.^{1,2,7}

When a drug meets these criteria and TDM is recommended, it is essential to know the therapeutic index, the monitoring guidelines (type and timing of sample collection), as well as the specific recommendations on adjusting dosage based on the sampling data. Interpreting, comparing, and standardising the methods used in routine healthcare practice for TDM is challenging due to significant variations in the level of experience in TDM, availability of different TDM techniques, and the methods employed in different hospitals. Furthermore, there is a lack of evidence on the benefits and clinical implications of TDM for some antibiotics and antifungals in the paediatric population.

The aim of this consensus document is to provide evidence-based recommendations for the most commonly monitored antibiotics and antifungals in paediatrics, in order to establish a consistent working methodology. A working group was created and members were appointed by the participating scientific societies: the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH) and the Spanish Society of Paediatric Infectious Diseases (SEIP). A literature search was performed in PubMed for articles published during the last 15 years (2007–2022), without language restrictions, using the following MeSH terms: ("antibacterial agents" or "antifungal agents") and ("drug monitoring" or "pharmacokinetics" or "pharmacodynamics") and ("children" or "paediatrics" or

Table 1

Age-related physiological changes that affect antibiotic and antifungal pharmacokinetics in paediatric patients.

Affected pharmacokinetic process	Altered physiological factor	Physiological change compared to adulthood	Pharmacokinetic change	Affected age
Absorption	Gastric intraluminal pH	Decreased	↑ C_{max} of weak acids ↑ C_{max} of weak bases	Neonates
	Gastric emptying time	Erratic and long	↑ T_{max} of fat-soluble drugs	Neonates Infants up to 6–8 months
Distribution	Intestinal transit	Decreased	↑ T_{max} of fat-soluble drugs	Neonates
	Biliary and pancreatic function	Decreased, poor secretion	↑ C_{max} and AUC_{0-24h} of lipid soluble drugs	Neonates
	Body composition	↑ Body water: TBW and ECW	↑ Vd hydrophilic drugs	Neonates and infants
Metabolism		Body fat and muscle mass: ↓ neonates ↑ infants	↓ Vd lipophilic drugs (neonates) ↑ Vd lipophilic drugs (infants)	Neonates and infants
	Plasma protein binding	↓ Protein and ↓ binding capacity	↑ Free fraction, ↑ Vd of drugs with high PPB	Neonates and infants
	Liver enzyme activity	↓ Activity of drug metabolising enzymes CYP450, CYP2E1, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19	↑ $t_{1/2}$ of drug substrates of these isoenzymes	Neonates
Clearance	Hepatic clearance	Decreased	↑ $t_{1/2}$ of drugs with hepatic clearance	Neonates
	GFR	↓ GFR in neonates ↑ GFR in children	↑ $t_{1/2}$ of drugs with renal clearance ↑ $t_{1/2}$ of drugs with renal excretion	Neonates Children
	Renal tubular secretion and reabsorption	↓ tubular secretion	↑ $t_{1/2}$ of drugs with renal clearance	Neonates

Adapted from Le et al.³TBW, total body water; AUC_{0-24h} , area under the plasma concentration curve over 24 h; C_{max} , maximum concentration; ECW, extracellular water; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; T_{max} , time to reach C_{max} ; $t_{1/2}$, elimination half-life; PPB, plasma protein binding; Vd, volume of distribution; ↑, increase compared to adulthood; ↓, decrease compared to adulthood.

“neonates”). The search was extended to antimicrobials and classes of antimicrobials of interest. In addition, a manual search of the references from the articles identified in the initial search was conducted, resulting in the inclusion of additional articles. The working group discussed the findings and reached consensus on formulating the recommendations.

Initial dosing guidelines are not addressed in this document, as they are defined in another consensus document (<https://www.seipweb.es/dosisantibioticos/>).

Recommendations

Table 2 presents the recommendations on TDM rationales and indications, while **Table 3** provides interpretations and dosing adjustments. **Table 3** also shows the PK/PD characteristics of each drug group to provide context for these recommendations. It should be noted that recommendations for dosing adjustments should always be tailored to the clinical context, specific patient characteristics, micro-organism, and type of infection, and should be used as an additional tool to support clinical decision-making.

General considerations on TDM and estimation of PK/PD parameters

The following section provides some general considerations on the recommended methodology for TDM and estimation of PK/PD parameters in routine clinical practice.

Blood sample collection for TDM

Ideally, the blood sample for determination of plasma concentrations should not be obtained via the same vascular catheter used to administer the drug. The preferred collection method is venipuncture. If this is not possible, alternative methods include discarding a small volume of the collected blood before sampling, or ensuring that as many hours as possible have elapsed between the end of the infusion and blood collection.

A number of methods are currently under study that will facilitate sampling and/or minimise draw volume using different matrices and less invasive techniques (e.g. dried blood on paper, saliva).

Pharmacodynamic parameters (MIC)

Ideally, PK/PD indices should be estimated using the established MIC value of the microorganism causing the infection. However, this value may not be available or the pathogen may be unknown and empirical treatment may be needed. In these cases, consider using ECOFF or EUCAST, or defer TDM until microbiological culture results are available. Another option is to use the most frequent MIC value for that strain, taking local epidemiology into account.

Dosing adjustments based on the results of TDM

Regarding the estimation of individual PK parameters for each paediatric patient and dosing recommendations based on TDM results, it is recommended, whenever possible, to use a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program that has been specifically validated for paediatric and/or neonatal populations. Subsequently, plasma concentrations should be rechecked whenever dose adjustments are made, especially for drugs with nonlinear kinetics.

Antibiotics

Aminoglycosides

Aminoglycosides are hydrophilic drugs with high polarity. Thus, they have poor capacity to cross biological membranes (blood–brain barrier, bronchial secretions, vitreous humour). Their antibacterial activity is compromised in acidic media, presence of cations, hyperosmolar environments, and the presence of pus or mucus. Elimination is via the renal route.⁸

Neonates show great intra- and inter-individual variability associated with changes in aminoglycoside Vd and renal Cl. These parameters are influenced by body weight (direct relationship with Vd), gestational age at delivery (maturation state of the elimination organs), and postnatal age (renal Cl increases more rapidly after birth). Weight-adjusted Vd will be higher in preterm infants because they have a higher proportion of body water in their tissues.^{8,37}

Aminoglycosides exhibit concentration-dependent bactericidal activity with moderate/prolonged post-antibiotic effect. Increased efficacy

Table 2

Rationale and indications for antibiotic and antifungal TDM in paediatric patients.

Family	Rationale	Indications
Antibiotics		
Aminoglycosides ⁷⁻⁹	<p>High inter-individual variability in PK as a function of age, maturational development, and gestational age at birth</p> <p>Optimisation of efficacy and prevention of toxicity associated with C_{\max} and C_{\min}, respectively</p> <p>Narrow therapeutic margin</p> <p>Adverse effects may be severe (e.g. nephrotoxicity and/or ototoxicity)</p>	<p>All paediatric or neonatal patients in the following situations: Treatment duration >48–72 h</p> <p>Patent ductus arteriosus (neonates)</p> <p>Neonatal asphyxia</p> <p>Patients with renal CL abnormalities (onco-haematological, cystic fibrosis, acute or chronic renal failure, major burns, etc)</p> <p>Critical condition or in shock</p> <p>Severe infection, hard-to-access sites, or uncontrolled infection^a</p> <p>ECMO or renal replacement therapy</p> <p>Unfavourable clinical course of infection</p> <p>Concomitant use of other nephrotoxic and/or ototoxic drugs</p> <p>Suspected toxicity to treatment</p>
Glycopeptides¹⁰⁻¹⁴		
	Vancomycin	
	<p>High inter-individual variability in PK as a function of age, maturational development, and gestational age at birth</p> <p>Optimisation of efficacy and prevention of toxicity, both associated with C_{\min} and AUC_{0-24h}</p> <p>Prevention of bacterial resistance selection</p> <p>Adverse effects may be severe (e.g. nephrotoxicity)</p>	<p>All paediatric patients, mainly in the following situations:</p> <p>Treatment duration >3–5 d</p> <p>High doses ($\geq 60 \text{ mg/kg/d}$) or continuous infusion</p> <p>Neonates</p> <p>Patients with renal CL abnormalities (onco-haematological, cystic fibrosis, acute or chronic renal failure, major burns, etc)</p> <p>Critical condition or in shock</p> <p>Patients with abnormal nutritional status (obese or underweight)</p> <p>Severe infection, hard-to-access sites, or uncontrolled infection^a</p> <p>ECMO or renal replacement therapy</p> <p>Unfavourable clinical course of infection</p> <p>Concomitant use of other nephrotoxic drugs</p> <p>Suspected toxicity to treatment</p>
	Teicoplanin (less evidence for TDM than vancomycin)	
	<p>High inter-individual variability in PK as a function of age, maturational development, and gestational age at birth</p> <p>Optimisation of efficacy and prevention of toxicity, both associated with C_{\min}</p> <p>Risk of suboptimal plasma concentrations</p> <p>Adverse effects may be severe (e.g. nephrotoxicity)</p>	<p>Recommended in the following clinical situations:</p> <p>Treatment duration >3–5 d</p> <p>Use of high doses ($> 12 \text{ mg/kg/d}$ maintenance dose)</p> <p>Neonates</p> <p>Renal CL abnormalities (onco-haematological, burns, acute or chronic renal failure, etc)</p> <p>Patients with abnormal nutritional status (obese or underweight) and/or severe hypoalbuminaemia</p> <p>Severe infection, hard-to-access sites, or uncontrolled infection^a</p> <p>ECMO or renal replacement therapy</p> <p>Unfavourable clinical course of infection</p> <p>Concomitant use of nephrotoxic drugs</p> <p>Suspected toxicity to treatment</p>
Linezolid ^{15,16}	<p>High inter-individual variability in PK as a function of age, maturational development, and gestational age at birth</p> <p>Efficacy and toxicity associated with C_{\min} and AUC_{0-24h}</p> <p>Risk of suboptimal concentrations due to increased renal CL (in infections caused by microorganisms with MIC > 1 mg/L)</p> <p>Adverse effects may be severe (e.g. haematological toxicity, neurotoxicity)</p>	<p>Recommended for paediatric patients in the following situations:</p> <p>Prolonged treatment (>14 d)</p> <p>Renal CL abnormalities (onco-haematological, burns, acute or chronic renal failure, etc)</p> <p>Liver failure</p> <p>Critical condition or in shock</p> <p>Patients with abnormal nutritional status (obese or underweight)</p> <p>Severe infection, hard-to-access sites, or uncontrolled infection^a</p> <p>Infection caused by a microorganism with MIC > 1 mg/L</p> <p>ECMO or renal replacement therapy</p> <p>Unfavourable clinical course of infection</p> <p>Suspected toxicity to treatment</p>
Beta-lactams ^{2,17,18}	<p>High inter-individual variability in PK as a function of age, maturational development, and gestational age at birth</p> <p>Efficacy linked to the percentage of time between doses in which free drug concentrations are above the MIC of the causative pathogen ($f\% T > \text{MIC}$)</p> <p>Risk of suboptimal concentrations due to increased renal CL</p> <p>Toxicity related to elevated plasma concentrations (evidence for some beta-lactams)</p> <p>Adverse effects that may be severe (e.g. neurotoxicity)</p>	<p>Recommended for paediatric patients in the following situations:</p> <p>Renal CL disorders (onco-haematological, burns, acute or chronic renal failure, etc)</p> <p>Severe burns</p> <p>Critical condition or in shock</p> <p>Patients with severe hypoalbuminaemia (for beta-lactams with high PPB).</p> <p>Severe infection, hard-to-access sites, or uncontrolled infection^a</p> <p>Infections caused by microorganisms with reduced susceptibility to the antibiotic (high MIC)</p> <p>ECMO or renal replacement therapy</p> <p>Unfavourable clinical course of infection</p> <p>Suspected toxicity to treatment</p>
Antifungals		
Triazoles ^{4,19-21}	<p>High intra- and inter-individual variability in PKs as a function of age and maturational development</p> <p>Efficacy and toxicity associated with plasma concentrations (less evidence for isavuconazole)</p> <p>Multiple drug-drug interactions with concomitant medication that may alter plasma concentrations</p> <p>Adverse effects that may be severe (e.g. neurologic, ocular or hepatic</p>	<p>Voriconazole and posaconazole (oral suspension):</p> <p>All paediatric patients</p> <p>Itraconazole, all paediatric patients, especially if they have:</p> <p>Gastrointestinal abnormalities (if administered orally)</p> <p>Risk of drug interactions</p> <p>Suspected breakthrough invasive fungal infection</p> <p>Unfavourable clinical course of infection</p>

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Family	Rationale	Indications
	toxicity)	Suspected toxicity to treatment Posaconazole (tablets or vial): Gastrointestinal abnormalities (if administered orally) Risk of drug interactions Suspected toxicity to treatment Isavuconazole: TDM is recommended in all paediatric patients until more data are available, especially in critical patients with suspected toxicity or unfavourable clinical course

AUC_{0-24h}, area under the plasma concentration curve over 24 h; renal Cl, renal clearance; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; C_{max}, maximum or peak concentration; C_{min}, minimum or trough concentration (to be drawn just before administration of the next dose); ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; f, free fraction of drug; TDM, therapeutic drug monitoring; PPB, plasma protein binding; Vd, volume of distribution.

^a Complicated infections or difficult-to-access site: Those with high inoculum and uncontrolled source of infection (pneumonia, non-surgical peritonitis, endocarditis) or those in which antibiotic penetration is limited by the characteristics of the site of infection (osteoarticular, ocular, cardiac, pulmonary, or central nervous system).

of aminoglycosides is associated with (C_{max})/MIC = 8–10, while toxicity is associated with C_{min}.⁷ To minimise blood draws in the paediatric and neonatal population, a single draw at 8–12 h is usually made to allow estimation of the C_{min} and C_{max} using population kinetic models. Recently, AUC_{0-24h}/MIC has been suggested as an ratio index for dosing adjustment, especially in complicated infections.³⁸

Glycopeptides

Glycopeptides are water-soluble antibiotics with low oral bioavailability (BA) and therefore require intravenous administration. Vancomycin is well distributed in most body fluids and tissues. It has low/moderate plasma protein binding (PPB) (25%–50%) and moderate to variable penetration into bone (5%–67%) and the central nervous system (CNS) (20%, increased in case of meningitis). In contrast, teicoplanin has a higher PPB (90%) and highly variable tissue concentrations.¹⁰ Elimination of glycopeptides is mainly renal. The presence of comorbidities and concomitant medications may influence the tissue distribution, elimination, and toxicity of vancomycin.³⁹ As with aminoglycosides, neonates exhibit significant variability in plasma vancomycin concentrations. Several major factors may cause nephrotoxicity and reduced renal function, including body weight and height, post-menstrual and post-natal age, and intrinsic neonatal factors such as immaturity, or certain drug treatments.

The bactericidal activity of glycopeptides is time- and concentration-dependent.

The PK/PD parameter associated with increased efficiency is the AUC_{0-24h}/MIC ratio. The established value for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is 400–600 mg·h/L, but it is less well defined for other species. Although vancomycin has traditionally been considered time-dependent and C_{min} has been the main PK/PD parameter, the new American guidelines recommend the use of AUC_{0-24h}/MIC for monitoring to avoid possible overexposure and associated nephrotoxicity.^{11,40} CoNS infections in neonates are noteworthy, as there is a low risk of therapeutic failure of vancomycin, and a lower AUC_{0-24h}/MIC value (between 240 and 480) may be appropriate.⁴¹

Regarding teicoplanin, although the target of AUC_{0-24h}/MIC in adults is well defined (750–900), it is currently recommended to monitor C_{min} in both adults and paediatric patients.¹²

Linezolid

Linezolid is a lipophilic antibiotic with 100% oral BA independent of food intake and good tissue distribution (90% to CNS, 20% to bone). PPB is low (30%) and 60% of the metabolism is hepatic through non-enzymatic oxidation rather than via cytochrome p-450, leading to the production of practically inactive metabolites. Renal excretion is 80% (30% unchanged and 50% as inactive metabolites). Population studies have identified body weight and aspartate aminotransferase (AST)

values as the covariates with the greatest impact on linezolid Cl. Higher AST values have been associated with higher AUC_{0-24h}, and higher body weight with higher CL.¹⁵

Linezolid has bacteriostatic activity against staphylococci and enterococci, and bactericidal activity against streptococci and pneumococci. These activities are time- and concentration-dependent. The PK/PD parameters predictive of efficacy of linezolid are an AUC_{0-24h}/MIC between 80 and 120 or the percentage of time between 2 consecutive doses during which the drug concentration is above the MIC (fT_{>MIC}), which should be between 80% and 100%. However, in routine clinical practice, it is usually considered as time-dependent and C_{min} is used.¹⁶

In cases of infections caused by microorganisms with a MIC > 1 mg/L, this target cannot be reached with the standard dose (10 mg/kg/8 h) and a larger one should be administered.¹⁶

Beta-lactams

Beta-lactam antibiotics are primarily hydrophilic. PPB varies significantly between drugs (20%–30% for piperacillin-tazobactam, 16%–19% for ceftazidime, 10%–15% for ceftazidime, and 2% for meropenem), while renal excretion is the primary route of elimination. Their hydrophilic nature means that Vd is affected by systemic inflammatory response syndrome, fluid therapy, or the use of vasopressors. This effect more pronounced in critical patients and particularly in septic, neutropenic, and/or burn patients.

Beta-lactam bactericidal activity shows time-dependent PDs. The most important PK/PD parameter for efficacy is fT_{>MIC}. Currently, there is disagreement on the optimal value of the steady-state free concentration of the drug, ranging from 1 to 4–6 times above the MIC at 100% fT_{>MIC}. Several studies have shown a higher probability of achieving target PK/PD with extended or continuous infusion of piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime, and meropenem in cancer patients with febrile neutropenia, infections caused by microorganisms classified as “susceptible, increased exposure” by EUCAST, or in critical patients.^{17,30,32,34,42,43}

Currently, there is limited evidence on the impact of beta-lactam TDM on clinical outcomes in the paediatric population. For this reason, monitoring is mainly recommended for certain antibiotics, targeted therapy for difficult-to-treat infections, certain clinical situations (e.g. septic shock), patients with devices that alter PK parameters (e.g. ECMO, renal replacement therapy), and/or suspected beta-lactam toxicity (especially in the case of carbapenems).³⁴

Antifungals

There are varying levels of recommendation and evidence regarding the need for TDM for different families of antifungals. This consensus document focuses on the triazoles because they have the strongest evidence of the clinical benefit of TDM and because they are widely used in immunocompromised paediatric patients. Notably, isavuconazole has

Table 3

Recommendations for antibiotic and antifungal therapeutic drug monitoring in paediatric patients: determination, interpretation, and dosing adjustment.

Drug	Time of determination	First and subsequent determinations	Target PK/PD index	Potentially toxic plasma exposure	Recommended dosing adjustment
Antibiotics					
Aminoglycosides					
<i>Amikacin (extended interval administration)</i> ^{7,8,22,23}	C_{8-12h} : 8–12 h after start of infusion (to estimate C_{\min} and C_{\max} using a population PK model) $\pm C_{\max}$ (30 min after end of 30 min IV infusion) (only in patients with increased Vd such as critical, septic, or burn patients) If minimising the number of samples is required, collect a single sample at 8–12 h In patients with increased GFR (critical with correct renal function, CF, or oncohaematoma), measuring at 8 h is recommended to avoid undetectable levels	First determination after administering the first dose Measure weekly or sooner if dosing has been previously adjusted or toxicity is suspected	$C_{\max}/MIC: 8-10 \text{ mg/L}$ $C_{\max}: 24-35 \text{ mg/L}$ A higher C_{\max} (up to 60–80 mg/L) may be needed in complicated infections or in hard-to-access sites ^a caused by microorganisms with high MICs, or in septic patients $C_{\min} < 4 \text{ mg/L}$ to prevent toxicity (ideally undetectable)	$C_{\min} > 4 \text{ mg/L}$ (nephrotoxicity) $C_{\min} > 10 \text{ mg/L}$ (ototoxicity)	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model included in a Bayesian prediction program If unavailable, estimates could be made based on nomograms adapted from the adult population (Hartford or Urban-Craig) ²⁴ Consider reducing the dosing interval if C_{\min} remains below MIC for more than 12 h between consecutive doses
<i>Gentamicin/Tobramycin (extended interval administration)</i> ^{7,25}	C_{8-12h} : 8–12 h after start of infusion (to estimate C_{\min} and C_{\max} using a population PK model) $\pm C_{\max}$ (30 min after end of 30 min IV infusion) (only in patients with increased Vd such as critical, septic, or burn patients) If minimising the number of samples is required, collect a single sample at 8–12 h Measurement at 8 h is recommended to avoid undetectable levels in patients with increased GFR (critical patients with correct renal function, CF, or oncohaematoma)	First determination after administering the first dose Measure weekly or sooner if dosing has been previously adjusted or toxicity is suspected	$C_{\max}/MIC: 8-10 \text{ mg/L}$ A higher C_{\max} (up to 15–20 mg/L) may be needed in complicated or hard-to-reach infections ^a caused by microorganisms with high MICs, or in septic patients $C_{\min} < 1 \text{ mg/L}$ to prevent nephrotoxicity (ideally undetectable)	$C_{\min} > 2 \text{ mg/L}$ (nephrotoxicity)	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model included in a Bayesian prediction program If unavailable, estimates could be made based on nomograms adapted from the adult population (Hartford or Urban-Craig) ²⁴ Consider reducing the dosing interval if C_{\min} remains below MIC for more than 12 h between consecutive doses
Glycopeptides					
<i>Vancomycin (intermittent infusion)</i> ^{26,27}	$C_{\min} \pm C_{\max}$ (1–2 h after end of 1 h intravenous infusion) ² to estimate AUC_{0-24h} ($C_{\min} + C_{\max}$ if unable to estimate AUC_{0-24h} via PK modelling program, or in patients with suspected Vd alteration, such as critical, septic, or burn patients)	First determination 24–48 h after starting treatment Measure at 3–5 d or sooner in the case of changes in renal function or suspected toxicity	$AUC_{0-24h}/MIC: 400-600^b$ (preferably in the lower range) For neonates with late sepsis due to CoNS, an AUC_{0-24h}/MIC of 240–480 is sufficient In case AUC_{0-24h} cannot be estimated, it is recommended to use C_{\min} $C_{\min}: 10-15 \text{ mg/L}$ In hard-to-access infections ^a , C_{\min} up to 15–20 mg/L For neonates with late sepsis due to CoNS, a reduced C_{\min} of 5–10 mg/L is acceptable	$AUC_{0-24h}/MIC > 600-800^b$ $C_{\min} > 20 \text{ mg/L}$	AUC_{0-24h} estimations and dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program If AUC_{0-24h} cannot be estimated, the following options are recommended: If C_{\min} is sub-therapeutic: increase the dose by 20% (in uncomplicated infections) or 20%–25% (in complicated infections). If C_{\min} is supratherapeutic: reduce the dose by 15%–20% or prolong the dosing interval Depending on type of infection and/or presence of toxicity, consider skipping the next dose, repeat monitoring at 6–8 h until therapeutic

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

Drug	Time of determination	First and subsequent determinations	Target PK/PD index	Potentially toxic plasma exposure	Recommended dosing adjustment
<i>Vancomycin (continuous infusion)</i> ^{26,27}	C_{ss} : measure at any time after steady-state equilibrium is reached and draw from the arm contralateral to the infusion pump	First determination 24–48 h after starting treatment Measure at 3–5 d or sooner in the case of changes in renal function or suspected toxicity	AUC_{0-24h}/MIC : 400–600 ^b (preferably in the lower range) Equivalent to the following C_{ss} values: Neonates and infants up to 90 d: C_{ss} : 15–25 mg/L Patients aged >90 d: C_{ss} : 20–25 mg/L	AUC_{0-24h}/MIC >600–800 ^b $C_{ss}>25$ mg/L	concentration is reached, and restart with adjusted doses In patients who fail to achieve target PK/PD despite administration of maximum doses, consider continuous infusion of vancomycin ^c AUC_{0-24h} estimations and dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program If AUC_{0-24h} cannot be estimated, the new dose can be calculated assuming linear PK with the following formula, provided there are no significant changes in clinical status or renal function: new dose (mg/d) =target C_{ss} /measured C_{ss} . previous dose (mg/d)
<i>Teicoplanin</i> ¹²	C_{min}	First determination 3–5 d after starting treatment (with loading dose) Measure weekly or sooner if dosing has been previously adjusted, there are changes in renal function, or suspected toxicity ^d	C_{min} : 10–20 mg/L C_{min} : 20–40 mg/L in complicated or difficult-to-reach infections ^a	$C_{min}>40$ mg/L (thrombocytopenia) $C_{min}\geq 60$ mg/L (nephrotoxicity)	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program. If unavailable, there are no specific recommendations. Adjust the dosage according to clinical criteria assuming a linear PK or consider discontinuation in the presence of toxicity
Oxazolidinones <i>Linezolid</i> , ^{15,16,28}	C_{min} AUC_{0-24h} (uncommon in routine clinical practice)	First determination 48 h after starting treatment Measure weekly or sooner if dosing has been previously adjusted or toxicity is suspected	C_{min} : 2–7 mg/L (definitions of the upper limit vary from 7 to 10 mg/L) $AUC_{0-24h}>80–100$ mg·h/L	$C_{min}>7$ –10 mg/L (haematological toxicity data in adults) $AUC_{0-24h}>300$ –400 mg·h/L (adults) (can be estimated from C_{min} using a population PK program)	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program If unavailable, adjust the dosage according to clinical criteria assuming a linear PK or consider discontinuation in the presence of toxicity In adults, it is recommended to adjust dosing by modifying the dosing interval while maintaining the same dose
Beta-lactams <i>Cefepime</i> ²⁹ <i>Ceftazidime</i> ^{30,31} <i>Piperacillin-tazobactam</i> ^{17,32,33}	Intermittent or extended infusion: Continuous infusion C_{min} : C_{ss} (measure any time after steady-state equilibrium is	First determination 24–48 h after starting treatment Measure weekly or sooner if dosing has been previously adjusted, there is an interval ^e	$fT_{>MIC}$ (beta-lactam free concentration ($f C_{min}/f C_{ss}$))> MIC of the infecting organism during 100% of the inter-dose interval ^e	$C_{min}>20$ –22 mg/L ^f $C_{ss}>35$ mg/L ^f $C_{min}>64$ –100 mg/L ^f	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program. If unavailable, a linear PK can

Table 3 (continued)

Drug	Time of determination	First and subsequent determinations	Target PK/PD index	Potentially toxic plasma exposure	Recommended dosing adjustment
	reached and draw from the arm contralateral to the infusion pump) Piperacillin $C_{min} > 128 \text{ mg/L}^f$ $C_{min} > 44.5 \text{ mg/L}^f$	unfavourable clinical course, changes in renal function, or suspected toxicity	In critical or septic patients, or those with complicated or hard-to-reach infections, it is recommended to achieve a $f C_{min}/f C_{ss} > 4-5$ times MIC of the infecting organism during 100% of the inter-dose interval ^e ($f t > 4-5 \cdot \text{MIC}$) An $f C_{min}$ or $f C_{ss} > 8-10$ times MIC of the infecting organism for 100% of the inter-dose interval has been suggested as an upper limit for adult patients ^e ($f t > 8-10 \cdot \text{MIC}$)		be assumed and dosing can be adjusted proportionally to achieve the target C_{min} or C_{ss} according to clinical criteria or consider discontinuing treatment in the case of toxicity In adults, dose adjustments of 25%-50% or modifications in the dosing interval have both been suggested
<i>Meropenem³⁴</i>					
Antifungals					
Triazoles					
<i>Itraconazole^{1,19,21}</i>	C_{min}	First determination 5-7 d after starting treatment Check weekly or sooner in the case of previous dosage adjustment, unfavourable clinical course, or introduction or withdrawal of concomitant drugs that may interact with the antifungal, and/or suspected toxicity	Prophylaxis: $C_{min} > 0.5 \text{ mg/L}$ Treatment: $C_{min}: 1-2 \text{ mg/L}$ (sum values of itraconazole and hydroxyitraconazole) Prophylaxis: $C_{min}: 0.7-2.5 \text{ mg/L}$ Treatment: $C_{min}: 1-2.5 \text{ mg/L}$ (if refractory IFI $> 1.3 \text{ mg/L}$) Consider a higher C_{min} in fungal infections with high MICs	$C_{min} > 17 \text{ mg/L}$ (sum values of itraconazole and hydroxyitraconazole) $C_{min} > 3.75 \text{ mg/L}$	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program (available for onc hematology and cystic fibrosis patients) If unavailable, adjust the dosage according to clinical criteria or consider discontinuation in the presence of toxicity
<i>Posaconazole^{1,19,21}</i>	C_{min}				
<i>Voriconazole¹⁹⁻²¹</i>	C_{min}	First measurement 3-4 d after starting treatment Check weekly or sooner in the case of previous dosage adjustment, unfavourable clinical course, or introduction or withdrawal of concomitant drugs that may interact with the antifungal, and/or suspected toxicity	$C_{min}: 1-5 \text{ mg/L}$ (both treatment and prophylaxis) C_{min} value should reach the upper range in the case of complicated or difficult-to-access infections ^a	$C_{min} > 6 \text{ mg/L}$	Dosing adjustments should be made using a population PK model within a Bayesian prediction program. If unavailable, the following recommendations can be followed: If $C_{min} < 1 \text{ mg/L}$: 50% increase in daily dose. In the case of dose increase on 2 or more consecutive occasions without achieving the desired C_{min} : 50% increase of the daily dose, and adjust the frequency of administration from every 12 h to every 8 h If $C_{min} > 5 \text{ mg/L}$: reduce the dose according to clinical criteria or consider discontinuation in the

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

Drug	Time of determination	First and subsequent determinations	Target PK/PD index	Potentially toxic plasma exposure	Recommended dosing adjustment
Isavuconazole ^{19,21,35,36}	C_{\min}	First determination 4–6 d after starting treatment Check weekly or sooner in the case of previous dosage adjustment, unfavourable clinical course, or introduction or withdrawal of concomitant drugs that may interact with the antifungal, and/or suspected toxicity In treatments >21 d, consider spacing controls	Prophylaxis and treatment: $C_{\min} > 2.5\text{--}5.0 \text{ mg/L}$ Consider higher C_{\min} (between 5 and 10 mg/L) in hard-to-reach infections ^a or those caused by fungi with high MICs	Not defined	presence of toxicity There are no specific recommendations for dosing adjustments in paediatrics, so it is recommended to adjust dosing according to clinical criteria or to consider discontinuation in the presence of toxicity

$AUC_{0\text{--}24h}$, area under curve for plasma concentration over 24 h; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; C_{\max} , maximum or peak concentration; C_{\min} , minimum or trough concentration (measure just before administration of the next dose); C_{ss} , average steady-state concentration; $C_{8\text{--}12h}$, plasma concentration 8–12 h after start of intravenous infusion; f, free fraction; CF, cystic fibrosis; IFI, invasive fungal infection; IV, intravenous route; PK, pharmacokinetic; PK/PD, pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; CoNS, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*.

^a Complicated or hard-to-reach infections: Cases with high inoculum and uncontrolled source of infection (pneumonia, non-surgical peritonitis, endocarditis) or cases in which antibiotic penetration is limited by the characteristics of the infection site (osteoarticular, ocular, cardiac, pulmonary, or central nervous system).

^b Vancomycin: If the MIC of the causative pathogen is unknown, a value of 1 mg/L is assumed by default. If a population model is used for the estimation of $AUC_{0\text{--}24h}$, a sample can be drawn at any time as no C_{\max} measurement is required.

^c Vancomycin: When considering the continuous infusion of vancomycin, near-exclusive venous access should be ensured, as its physicochemical incompatibilities with other drugs may prevent co-infusion through the same lumen.

^d Teicoplanin: Critical patients with hypoalbuminaemia, onco-haematoma, or unstable renal function should be monitored every 2–3 d.

^e Beta-lactams: If MICs are unknown (due to empirical treatment or while pending results), the epidemiological cut-off value (ECOFF) or the sensitivity cut-off value (EUCAST) can be used, or TDM can be deferred until the results of microbiological cultures are available. Another option is to use the most frequent MIC value for that strain, taking local epidemiology into account.

^f Beta-lactams: Potentially toxic plasma concentrations are not well defined and studies in the adult population have reported widely varying concentrations.

been included even though it is currently not approved for paediatric use. Approval is expected soon and its use in clinical practice is increasing.

Finally, monitoring of all patients on flucytosine, although its use is currently rare, is recommended to ensure efficacy and because of its high toxicity.^{19,44}

Triazoles

Triazoles (and azoles in general) are lipophilic drugs, with varying degrees of lipophilicity depending on their structure. For example, itraconazole has a very lipophilic tail compared to other azoles. Their oral BA is generally good (>50%). Food intake can alter BA: it is increased by the use of itraconazole capsules and posaconazole oral suspension (especially with high-fat foods), and decreased by voriconazole and itraconazole (oral suspension).⁴⁴ Unlike fluconazole and isavuconazole, food intake does not affect posaconazole absorption when administered as a gastro-resistant tablet. Triazoles are widely distributed in the body with good penetration into most tissues. Elimination of fluconazole is mainly renal (80%), whereas for others such as itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole, and isavuconazole, elimination occurs via hepatic metabolism. Several factors may influence elimination, including age, concomitant medication, and genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P450, particularly the CYP2C19 isoenzyme for voriconazole.⁴⁵ Due to its long plasma elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$), loading doses are needed to reduce the time required to reach steady state. Some of these drugs have nonlinear PK due to potential saturation of hepatic metabolism. As a result, small increases in dose can significantly increase plasma concentrations and $t_{1/2}$. Most relevant interactions of azoles are due to their ability to inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes. They all inhibit CYP3A4 isoenzyme. Isavuconazole also inhibits CYP3A5 and voriconazole inhibits CYP2C9. A number of them also have an inducing effect.⁴⁶

Azoles have concentration- and time-dependent fungistatic activity against *Candida* spp. and time-dependent fungicidal activity against

Aspergillus spp. C_{\min} is the main TDM PK/PD parameter. The optimal value of C_{\min} depends on the type of azole and its prophylactic or therapeutic indication.⁴⁷

Tables 2 and 3 show the indications, procedures, interpretation, and use of TDM for posaconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, and isavuconazole. Although TDM is not typically recommended for fluconazole, monitoring may be needed in specific patients with renal impairment, and/or on renal replacement therapy, with severe burns, and with infections in hard-to-access sites.^{19,44}

Conclusions

Optimised PK/PD parameters are fundamental tools in the selection, use, and dosing of antibiotics and antifungals in paediatrics. TDM of these drugs can be very useful in clinical decision-making to maximise the clinical efficacy of antimicrobial therapy, minimise the likelihood of adverse effects, and prevent the emergence of resistance. The evidence supporting TDM in paediatrics varies between different families of antibiotics and antifungals. It is well established for aminoglycosides, vancomycin and voriconazole, and it is recommended in all paediatric patients. However, the evidence is much weaker for others, such as beta-lactams, linezolid, and isavuconazole, where TDM is recommended only in selected patients, pending further clinical evidence in the paediatric population to justify TDM on a routine basis.

The availability and type of analytical techniques used in TDM, as well as their implementation or interpretation, may vary widely between hospitals, making it difficult to standardise this clinical practice. To ensure consistent practice, a working methodology and evidence-based recommendations for dosing adjustments should be established. In addition, the implementation of clinical PK units within antimicrobial therapy optimization programs is essential. This consensus document aims to provide standardised protocols for the implementation of antibiotic and antifungal TDM in paediatrics, taking into account the variability of the paediatric population and the PK characteristics of each drug. Finally, although TDM is a very useful tool for optimising

antimicrobial therapy in this population, any recommendation for dose adjustment must be made by consensus in multidisciplinary teams, while taking into account the microorganism involved, the individual characteristics of each patient, and their particular clinical situation.

Ethical responsibilities

The authors accept the responsibilities defined by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (available at <http://www.icmje.org/> and in Hospital Pharmacy).

Funding

None of the authors have received funding for this work.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Sonia Luque: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Natalia Mendoza-Palomar:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **David Aguilera-Alonso:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Beatriz Garrido:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Marta Miarons:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Ana Isabel Piqueras:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Enrique Tévar:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Eneritz Velasco-Arnaiz:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Aurora Fernández-Polo:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the content of this consensus document.

Appendix A

The above-mentioned authors have written the article on behalf of the following groups:

SEFH Working Group on Pharmaceutical Care in Infectious Diseases:

Coordinator: Leonor Periñez Parraga (Hospital Universitari Son Espases, Mallorca)

Deputy Coordinator/Secretary: Sònia Luque Pardos (Hospital del Mar, Barcelona)

Advisory spokespersons:

M. Victoria Gil Navarro (Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)

José María Gutiérrez Urbón (Hospital Juan Canalejo, La Coruña)

Coordinating Committee:

Carmen Rodríguez González (Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Beatrix Mejuto Pérez del Molino (Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela)

Eva Campelo Sanchez (Hospital Álvaro Cunqueiro, Eoxi Vigo)

Francisco Moreno Ramos (Hospital Universitario La Paz)

Maria Eugenia Martínez Núñez (Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Madrid)

María Eugenia Rodríguez Mateos (Hospital Puerta del Mar, Cádiz)
María Núñez Núñez (Hospital Universitario Clínico San Cecilio, Granada)

Svetlana Sadyrbaeva Dolgova (Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, Granada)

SEFH Spokesperson: Aurora Fernández Polo (Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona)

Resident: Alba Pau Parra (Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona)

SEFH Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacogenetics Working Group (PKGen):

Coordinator: Enrique Tévar Alfonso (Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de la Candelaria, S/C de Tenerife)

Vice-coordinator: Begoña Porta Oltra (Hospital Universitario Doctor Peset, Valencia)

Team members:

Azucena Aldaz Pastor (Clínica Universitaria, Navarra)

M. Dolores Aumente Rubio (Hospital Reina Sofía, Córdoba)

M. Dolores Bellés Medall (Hospital General Universitario, Castellón)

Remedios Marqués Miñana (Hospital La Fe, Valencia)

Patricio Mas Serrano (Hospital General Universitario, Alicante)

Jose Germán Sánchez Hernández (Complejo Asistencial Universitario, Salamanca)

Javier Milara Paya (Hospital General, Valencia)

Dolors Soy Muner (Hospital Clinic, Barcelona)

SEFH Paediatric Pharmacy Working Group:

Coordinator: M. Teresa Pozas del Río (Hospital Infantil Universitario Niño Jesús, Madrid)

Secretary: Belén Rodríguez Marrodán (Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Madrid)

Team members:

Concha Álvarez del Vayo (Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)

María Teresa Bosch Peligero (Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona)

Maria José Cabañas Poy (Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona)

Raquel Escrig (Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia)

Cecilia M Fernández-Llamazares (Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Carmen Gallego Fernández (Hospital Materno Infantil HUR, Málaga)

Ana García Robles (Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia)

Yolanda Hernández Gago (Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Insular, Gran Canaria)

Cristina Martínez Roca (Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña, A Coruña)

Miquel Villaronga Flaqué (Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona)

Marta Miarons Font (Consorci Hospitalari de Vic, Barcelona)

Beatrix Garrido Corro (Hospital Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia)

SEIP Bacterial Infections Working Group:

Coordinator: Leticia Martínez Campos (Hospital Universitario Torrecárdenas, Almería)

Secretary: Jesús Saavedra Lozano (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Team members:

David Aguilera Alonso (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Cristina Calvo Rey (Hospital la Paz, Madrid)

Jaime Carrasco Colom (Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Mallorca)

Elena Colino Gil (Hospital de las Palmas, Gran Canaria)

David López Martín (Hospital Costa del Sol, Marbella)

Ana Isabel Menasalvas Ruiz (Hospital Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia)

Esméralda Núñez Cuadros (Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga)

Carlos Rodrigo Gonzalo de Liria (Hospital Vall d'Hebrón, Barcelona)

SEIP Invasive Fungal Infection Working Group:

Coordinator: Peter Olbrich (Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)

Secretary: Begoña Carazo Gallego (Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga)

Team members:

- Laura Ferreras Antolín (St. George's Hospital, London)
- Carlos Daniel Grasa Lozano (Hospital La Paz, Madrid)
- Natalia Mendoza Palomar (Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona)
- Marisa Navarro Gómez (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)
- Olaf Neth (Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)
- José Tomás Ramos Amador (Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid)
- Elena Rincón López (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)
- Sílvia Simó Nebot (Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona)
- Pere Soler Palacín (Hospital Vall d'Hebrón, Barcelona)

SEIP Antimicrobial Optimisation Programmes Working Group (PROA):

Coordinator: Fernando Baquero Artigao (JD SEIP, Hospital La Paz, Madrid)

Secretary: Leticia Martínez Campos (JD SEIP- GT IA SEIP, H. Torrecárdenas, Almería)

Team members:

- Carlos Rodrigo Gonzalo de Liria (GT-IB SEIP, Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona)

José Tomás Ramos Amador (GT-IF-SEIP, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid)

Cristian Launes Montaña (GT-IR-SEIP, Hospital Sant Joan de Deu, Barcelona)

Maria Carmen Suarez Arrabal (GT IyF-SEIP, C.S. Sardinero, Santander)

Luis Escosa García (GT IRAS, Hospital La Paz, Madrid)

Susana Melendo Pérez (Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona)

David Aguilera Alonso (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Walter Goycochea Valdivia (Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)

Eneritz Velasco Arnaiz (Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona)

Cristina Epalza Ibarrondo (Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid)

Marta García Ascaso (Hospital Niño Jesús, Madrid)

SEIP Healthcare-Related Infections Working Group:

Coordinator: Luis Escosa (Hospital la Paz, Madrid)

Team members:

Walter Alfredo Goycochea Valdivia (Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)

Ana Isabel Menasalvas Ruiz (Hospital Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia)

Olaf Neth (Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla)

Anabel Piquerias (Hospital la Fe, Valencia)

María del Mar Santos Sebastián (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Eneritz Velasco (Hospital Sant Joan de Deu, Barcelona)

David Aguilera Alonso (Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid)

Laura Martín (Hospital Regional de Málaga)

Daniel Blázquez Gamero (Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid)

References

1. Abdul-Aziz MH, Alffenaar JWC, Bassetti M, Bracht H, Dimopoulos G, Marriott D, et al. Antimicrobial therapeutic drug monitoring in critically ill adult patients: a position paper. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46:1127–53.
2. Simeoli R, Dorlo TPC, Hanff LM, Huitema ADR, Dreesen E. Editorial: therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM): a useful tool for pediatric pharmacology applied to routine clinical practice. *Front Pharmacol.* 2022;13. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.931843.
3. Le J, Bradley JS. Optimizing antibiotic drug therapy in pediatrics: current state and future needs. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2018;58(Suppl 10):S108–22.
4. Lim SY, Pettit RS. Pharmacokinetic considerations in pediatric pharmacotherapy. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2019;76:1472–80.
5. Batchelor HK, Marriott JF. Paediatric pharmacokinetics: key considerations. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2015;79:395–404.
6. Gijssen M, Vlasselaers D, Sprriet I, Allegaert K. Pharmacokinetics of antibiotics in pediatric intensive care: fostering variability to attain precision medicine. *Antibiot (Basel, Switzerland).* 2021;10. doi: 10.3390/ANTIBIOTICS10101182.
7. Touw DJ, Westerman EM, Sprrij AJ. Therapeutic drug monitoring of aminoglycosides in neonates. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2009;48:71–88.
8. Illamola SM, Sherwin CM, van Hasselt JGC. Clinical pharmacokinetics of amikacin in pediatric patients: a comprehensive review of population pharmacokinetic analyses. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2018;57:1217–28.
9. Stolk LML, Degraeuwe PJ, Nieman FHM, De Wolf MC, De Boer A. Population Pharmacokinetics and Relationship Between Demographic and Clinical Variables and Pharmacokinetics of Gentamicin in Neonates, 24; 2002;527–31.
10. Intravenous aminoglycoside therapy (amikacin, gentamicin and tobramycin). Paediatric Medication Guideline. Queensland: Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Services; 2023. p. 1–14.
11. Wilson AP. Clinical pharmacokinetics of teicoplanin. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2000;39: 167–83.
12. Han J, Sauberan J, Tran MT, Adler-Shohet FC, Michalik DE, Tien TH, et al. Implementation of vancomycin therapeutic monitoring guidelines: focus on Bayesian estimation tools in neonatal and pediatric patients. *Ther Drug Monit.* 2022;44:241–52.
13. Lim AS, Foo SHW, Benjamin Seng JJ, Magdeline Ng TT, Chng HT, Han Z. Area-under-curve-guided versus trough-guided monitoring of vancomycin and its impact on nephrotoxicity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ther Drug Monit.* 2023;45: 519–32.
14. Rybak MJ, Le J, Lodise TP, Levine DP, Bradley JS, Liu C, et al. Therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin for serious methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: a revised consensus guideline and review by the American Society of Health-system Pharmacists, the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Pediatr Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71:1361–4.
15. Tang Z, Guan J, Li J, Yu Y, Qian M, Cao J, et al. Determination of vancomycin exposure target and individualised dosing recommendations for neonates: model-informed precision dosing. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2021;57, 106300.
16. Choi J sik, Yoon SH, Park HJ, Lee SY, Kim YJ. Optimal use and need for therapeutic drug monitoring of teicoplanin in children: a systematic review. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2023;38:1–13.
17. Yang M, Zhao L, Wang X, Sun C, Gao H, Wang X, et al. Population pharmacokinetics and dosage optimization of linezolid in critically ill pediatric patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2021;65:1–11.
18. Li SC, Ye Q, Xu H, Zhang L, Wang Y. Population pharmacokinetics and dosing optimization of linezolid in pediatric patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2019;63:1–12.
19. Chongcharoenyanon T, Wacharachaisurapol N, Anugulruengkitt S, Maimongkol P, Anunsittichai O, Sophonphan J, et al. Comparison of piperacillin plasma concentrations in a prospective randomised trial of extended infusion versus intermittent bolus of piperacillin/tazobactam in paediatric patients. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2021;108: 102–8.
20. Morales Junior R, Pereira GO, Tiguman GMB, Juodinis VDA, Telles JP, de Souza DC, et al. Beta-lactams therapeutic monitoring in septic children—what target are we aiming for? A scoping review. *Front Pediatr.* 2022;10(10), 777854.
21. Maarbjerg SF, Thorsted A, Kristoffersson A, Friberg LE, Nielsen El, Wang M, et al. Piperacillin pharmacokinetics and target attainment in children with cancer and fever: can we optimize our dosing strategy? *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2019;66. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27654.
22. Nichols K, Chung EK, Knoderer CA, Buenger LE, Healy DP, Dees J, et al. Population pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of extended-infusion piperacillin and tazobactam in critically ill children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2016;60:522–31.
23. Bui S, Facchin A, Ha P, Bouchet S, Leroux S, Nacka F, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of ceftazidime in critically ill children: impact of cystic fibrosis. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2020;75:2232–9.
24. Cojuttì PG, Maximova N, Schillani G, Hope W, Pea F. Population pharmacokinetics of continuous-infusion ceftazidime in febrile neutropenic children undergoing HSCT: implications for target attainment for empirical treatment against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2019;74:1648–55.
25. Cendejas-Bueno E, Cuena-Estrella M, Gómez-López A. Indicaciones clínicas de la monitorización de azoles de uso sistémico. Hacia la optimización del tratamiento de la infección fúngica. *Rev Esp Quimioter.* 2014;27:1–16.
26. Bellmann R, Smuszkeiwicz P. Pharmacokinetics of antifungal drugs: practical implications for optimized treatment of patients. *Infection.* 2017;45:737–79.
27. Takahashi T, Mohamud MA, Smith AR, Jacobson PA, Jaber MM, Alharbi AF, et al. CYP2C19 phenotype and body weight-guided voriconazole initial dose in infants and children after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2021;65:1–13.
28. Amsden JR, Gubbins PO. Pharmacogenomics of triazole antifungal agents: implications for safety, tolerability and efficacy. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2017;13: 1135–46.
29. Lepak AJ, Andes DR. Antifungal pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2015;5, a019653.
30. Touw Dj, Van Den Anker JN. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Antimicrobial Drugs in Neonates: An Opinion Article; 2022. doi: 10.1097/FTD.0000000000000919.
31. Murphy ME, Tang Girdwood S, Goldman JL, Scheetz MH, Downes KJ. Precision dosing of vancomycin: in defence of AUC-guided therapy in children. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2021;76:2494–7.
32. Ramos-Martín V, Paulus S, Siner S, Scott E, Padmore K, Newland P, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of teicoplanin in children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2014;58:6920–7.

33. Cies JF, Moore WS, Enache A, Chopra A. β -lactam therapeutic drug management in the PICU. Critical Care Medicine. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2018. p. 272–9.
34. Valle-T-figueras JM, Renedo Miró B, Benítez Carabante MI, Díaz-De-heredia C, Vima Bofarull J, Mendoza-Palomar N, et al. Voriconazole use in children: therapeutic drug monitoring and control of inflammation as key points for optimal treatment. *J Fungi*. 2021;7:1–17.
35. Ashbee HR, Barnes RA, Johnson EM, Richardson MD, Gorton R, Hope WW. Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of antifungal agents: guidelines from the british society for medical mycology. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69:1162–76.
36. Endo A, Hanawa K, Nemoto A, Ishikawa T, Kazama S, Kagami Y, et al. Evaluation of nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity following amikacin administration once daily or every 48 h in neonates. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2022;101, e31425.
37. Medjebeur Hanna R, Levy M, Bille E, Hennequin C, Lesage F, Naudin J, et al. Assessment of the effects of a high amikacin dose on plasma peak concentration in critically ill children. *Pediatr Drugs*. 2021;23:395–401.
38. Mui E, Meng L, Kuo J, Kwong D, Epstein D. Stanford Health Care Aminoglycoside Dosing Guideline. 2021.med.stanford.edu/content/dam/sm/bugsanddrugs/documents/antimicrobial-dosing-protocols/SHC-Aminoglycoside-Dosing-Guide.pdf.
39. Lanao JM, Calvo MV, Mesa JA, Martín-Suárez A, Carabajosa MT, Miguelez F, et al. Pharmacokinetic basis for the use of extended interval dosage regimens of gentamicin in neonates. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2004;54:193–8.
40. Gwee A, Cranswick N, McMullan B, Perkins E, Bolisetty S, Gardiner K, et al. Continuous versus intermittent vancomycin infusions in infants: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2019;143, e20182179.
41. Chen Y, Wu D, Dong M, Zhu Y, Lu J, Li X, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of vancomycin and AUC-guided dosing in Chinese neonates and young infants. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2018;74:921–30.
42. Jungbluth GL, Welshman IR, Hopkins NK. Linezolid pharmacokinetics in pediatric patients: an overview. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2003;22:153–7.
43. Huwyler T, Lenggenhager L, Abbas M, Ing Lorenzini K, Hughes S, Huttner B, et al. Ceftipime plasma concentrations and clinical toxicity: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2017;23:454–9.
44. Zhou J, You X, Ke M, Ye L, Wu W, Huang P, et al. Dosage adjustment for ceftazidime in pediatric patients with renal impairment using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling. *J Pharm Sci*. 2021;110:1853–62.
45. De Cock PAJG, van Dijkman SC, de Jaeger A, Willems J, Carlier M, Verstraete AG, et al. Dose optimization of piperacillin/tazobactam in critically ill children. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2017;72:2002–11.
46. Risum M, Vestergaard MB, Weinreich UM, Helleberg M, Vissing NH, Jørgensen R. Therapeutic drug monitoring of isavuconazole: serum concentration variability and success rates for reaching target in comparison with voriconazole. *Antibiotics*. 2021;10. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10050487.
47. Furfarò E, Signori A, Di Grazia C, Dominietto A, Raiola AM, Aquino S, et al. Serial monitoring of isavuconazole blood levels during prolonged antifungal therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74:2341–6.