



Brief report

[Translated article] Implementation of a traceability and safe drug preparation system in a clean room

Marta Echávarri de Miguel*, Belén Riva de la Hoz, Margarita Cuervas-Mons Vendrell, Beatriz Leal Pino and Luis Fernandez Romero

Hospital Infantil Universitario Niño Jesús, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 July 2023

Accepted 8 March 2024

Keywords:

Pharmacy service

Drug compounding

Legislation

Quality

Controlled environment

Patient safety

Sterile medicines

A B S T R A C T

Objective: To describe the process of implementing a traceability and safe manufacturing system in the clean room of a pharmacy service to increase patient safety, in accordance with current legislation.

Methods: The process was carried out between September 2021 and July 2022. The software program integrated all the recommended stages of the manufacturing process outlined in the “Good Practices Guide for Medication Preparation in Pharmacy Services” (GBPP). The following sections were parameterised in the software program: personnel, facilities, equipment, starting materials, packaging materials, standardised work procedures, and quality controls.

Results: A total of 50 users, 4 elaboration areas and 113 equipments were included. 435 components were parameterized (195 raw materials and 240 pharmaceutical specialties), 54 packaging materials, 376 standardised work procedures (123 of them corresponding to sterile medicines and 253 to non-sterile medicines, of which 52 non-sterile were dangerous), in addition, 17 were high risk, 327 medium risk, and 32 low risk, and 13 quality controls.

Conclusions: The computerization of the production process has allowed the implementation of a traceability and secure manufacturing system in a controlled environment in accordance with current legislation.

© 2024 Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

R E S U M E N

Objetivos: describir el proceso de implantación de un sistema de trazabilidad y elaboración segura en la sala blanca de un Servicio de Farmacia para incrementar la seguridad en el paciente, cumpliendo la legislación vigente.

Método: el proceso se llevó a cabo entre septiembre de 2.021 y julio de 2.022. El programa informático integró todas las fases del proceso de elaboración que se recomiendan en la «Guía de Buenas Prácticas de preparación de medicamentos en los Servicios de Farmacia» (GBBPP). Se parametrizaron los siguientes apartados en el programa informático: personal, instalaciones, equipos, materiales de partida, material de acondicionamiento, procedimientos normalizados de trabajo y controles de calidad.

Resultados: se incluyeron un total de 50 usuarios, 4 zonas de elaboración y 113 equipos. Se parametrizaron 435 componentes (195 materias primas y 240 especialidades farmacéuticas), 54 materiales de acondicionamiento, 376 procedimientos normalizados de trabajo (123 de ellos correspondientes a medicamentos estériles y 253 a medicamentos no estériles, de los cuales 52 no estériles eran peligrosos), además 17 eran de alto riesgo, 327 de riesgo medio y 32 de riesgo bajo, y 13 controles de calidad.

Conclusiones: la informatización del proceso de elaboración ha permitido la implantación de un sistema de trazabilidad y elaboración segura en sala blanca, que cumple con la legislación vigente.

© 2024 Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Palabras clave:

Servicio de farmacia

Fórmulas magistrales

Legislación

Calidad

Sala blanca

Seguridad en el paciente

Medicamentos estériles

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farma.2024.03.005>.

* Corresponding author at: Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital Infantil Universitario Niño Jesús, Avenida Menéndez Pelayo 65, 28009 Madrid, Spain.

E-mail addresses: marta.echavarri@salud.madrid.org (M. Echávarri de Miguel),

belen.riva@salud.madrid.org (B. Riva de la Hoz).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farma.2024.05.003>

1130-6343/© 2024 Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The therapeutic arsenal continues to expand daily as we learn more about various diseases, with the aim of preventing or treating them. However, in certain cases, commercially available medicines do not meet treatment needs, particularly in paediatric settings. Children can face challenges such as swallowing difficulties, metabolic and neurological conditions necessitating invasive devices like catheters, and dosages that do not match the available formulations.¹

To address such issues, existing medicines need to be tailored to the needs of individual patients, while maintaining high standards of quality and safety. Guidelines to ensure quality and safety in the preparation of medicinal products in hospitals have been provided through European legislation in the form of the Pharmaceutical Inspection Cooperation Scheme (PIC/S) “Guide to Good Practices for the Preparation of Medicinal Products in Healthcare Establishments”,² and through Spanish legislation in the form of Royal Decree 16/2012 of 20 April 2012 on the Handling and Suitability of Medicinal Preparations³ and Royal Decree 175/2001, approving the “Rules for the correct preparation and quality control of magistral formulas and official preparations”.⁴

In 2014, the Spanish Ministry of Health published the “Guide to Good Practice in the Preparation of Medicines in Hospital Pharmacy Services” (Spanish acronym: GBBPP),⁵ aiming to harmonise existing recommendations. In 2022, the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH) also published a document on the traceability and safe use of medicines in hospitals,⁶ highlighting improvements in efficiency and safety by applying traceability through the application of technologies such as electronic prescribing and automated dispensing systems.

The aim of this study was to describe the process of implementing a traceability and safety system in the drug preparation area within a clean room of a pharmacy service in accordance with current regulations.

Methods

The implementation process was conducted between September 2021 and July 2022, commencing with a first phase for non-sterile preparations, followed by a second phase for sterile preparations.

The software was configured based on the chapters of the GBBPP.⁵

Staff

The personal data (name, surname, and Spanish National Identity Number) of all drug preparers were recorded and they were categorised according to a defined level of responsibility.

Facilities and equipment

The characteristics of the facilities and the various medicine preparation areas were defined.

A file was created for each piece of equipment containing details of the name, model, serial number, manufacturer, revision record, and user manual.

Raw materials and packaging materials

The following characteristics were defined for the raw materials and pharmaceutical products: active ingredient, presentation, trade name, manufacturer, usual supplier, technical data sheet, national code, barcode or manufacturer's 2D code (datamatrix), storage, location, accounting unit (grams, tablets, capsules, vials, etc), quantity per container, dosage per unit, physical state, hazard level according to the recommendations of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and type of label.

The characteristics were also defined of all available packaging materials.

Preparation and standard operating procedures

All standard operating procedures (SOPs) for medicines prepared by the pharmacy service were parameterised. The following details were recorded for each procedure: name of the preparation (active ingredient, dose or concentration, and pharmaceutical form), whether it was a sterile or non-sterile preparation, risk level according to the GBBPP risk matrix, category of the preparation staff, preparation area, equipment used, work protocol, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) group, type of patient for whom it is dispensed, raw materials and quantity, pharmaceutical form and route of administration, storage requirements, expiry date, quality controls, packaging material, excipients subject to notification, references, authors, observations, and galenic validation. Each protocol had to be validated by a pharmacist.

Quality controls

The quality controls were parameterised according to the recommendations of the GBBPP and the Spanish National Formulary, depending on the type of preparation. The monograph from the Spanish National Formulary monograph containing the described controls was attached.

Results

Staff

A total of 50 users were parameterised, comprising 21 pharmacists, 25 nurses, 3 pharmacy technicians, and 1 computer technician, each with a different level of responsibility.

Table 1

Total number of SOPs for non-sterile preparations classified by dosage form and hazard.

Type of preparation	Pharmaceutical form	Number of SOPs reviewed	
Sterile Non-hazardous		123 (32.7%)	
		123	
	Eye drops	10	
	Intradermal solutions	3	
	Intramuscular syringe	1	
	Intrathecal solutions	3	
	Intravitreal solutions	5	
	Intravenous perfusion	63	
	Catheter-tip syringes	6	
	Media fill test	3	
	Subcutaneous solutions	29	
Non-sterile Non-hazardous		253 (67.3%)	
		201 (79.4%)	
	Oral capsules	4	
	Enema	1	
	Topical gel	1	
	Syrups	2	
	Nasal syringes	6	
	Oral syringes	5	
	Oral syringes	100	
	Allergy test patches	1	
	Topical ointments	7	
	Oral solutions	22	
	Oral suspensions	52	
	Hazardous		52 (20.6%)
		Topical creams	2
		Oral syringes	7
Topical pastes		1	
Topical ointments		3	
Oral solutions		16	
Total	Oral suspensions	23	
		376	

Abbreviation: SOPs, standard operating procedures.

Table 2
Number and type of preparations by risk level.

Level of risk	Type of preparation	Hazardous medicinal product	Number of SOPs
High	Sterile Non-sterile	No	17 (4.5%) 15
		No	2
Medium	Sterile Non-sterile	No	327 (87%) 96
		No	231
		Yes	180
Low	Sterile Non-sterile	No	51
		Yes	32 (8.5%) 12
		No	20
		Yes	19
Total			1 376

Abbreviation: SOPs, standard operating procedures.

Facilities and equipment

Four preparation areas were defined: pharmacotechnical laboratory, oral hazards preparation area, horizontal laminar flow cabinet room, and vertical laminar flow cabinet room. The first 2 areas are classified as class C and the last 2 areas as class B.

A total of 113 pieces of equipment were parameterised, comprising 6 balances, 5 cabinets, 11 computers, 7 printers, 4 optical readers, 33 laboratory materials, and 47 consumables. We recorded the location and

type of cabinets, including 2 vertical laminar flow cabinets, 2 horizontal laminar flow cabinets, and a type 1 biosafety cabinet.

Raw materials and packaging materials

A total of 435 components were parameterised, of which 195 were raw materials and 240 were pharmaceutical specialities. In total, 8.5% of the starting materials were hazardous.

The datamatrix code was entered for all raw materials and pharmaceutical specialities to ensure traceability and safety in the preparation process.

A total of 54 packaging materials were also parameterised.

Preparation and standard operating procedures

A total of 376 SOPs were parameterised (Table 1), of which 123 (32.7%) addressed sterile preparations. Hazardous sterile preparations were not included because their preparation is managed by a different software programme.

Of the procedures, 67.3% were non-sterile, with 80% of these involving non-hazardous active ingredients.

In turn, the application of the risk matrix for sterile and non-sterile preparations of the GBBPP shows that of the 376 parameterised SOPs, 17 (4.5%) were categorised as high risk, 327 (87%) as medium risk, and 32 (8.5%) as low risk. Table 2 shows a more detailed breakdown of the types of preparations by risk level.

For each SOP, we recorded data related to the most common type of patient for whom the preparation is dispensed: outpatient, inpatient,

Table 3
Comparison of manual and computerised workflow preparation and traceability systems.

Preparation process steps	Manual method			Computerised method		
	Type of verification performed	Traceability	Disadvantages	Type of verification performed	Traceability	Advantages
1. Selection and identification of starting materials	Visual check	Paper record of batch and expiry date	Possible human error of raw material selection or expired material selection	Scanning by datamatrix code and visual check	Computerised recording of batch and expiry date	Avoids errors due to confusion of raw material, as there will be no further preparation if it is not the correct material or if it is out of date
2. Measurement of quantities and volumes of starting materials	Manual gravimetric recording of raw materials only	Paper recording of reconstitution weights and volumes	Visual check and acceptance of measurements made by humans alone	Recording of all measurements, including gravimetric control of both raw materials and pharmaceutical specialities	Measurements are electronically recorded and verified against a pre-set acceptance range	Avoids incorrect dosage errors by rejecting the measurement if it is outside the pre-set range
3. SOP for preparation	Paper-based SOP	On paper	Loss of paper, updating more time-consuming, physical storage space	Computerised SOP	Computerised	Instant and easy SOP updating, less physical storage space, less environmental impact
4. Quality controls	Manual checking	Paper-based recording	Loss of quality control record	Computerised checks	Computerised	Compulsory recording of all controls to be able to perform the final check of the preparation
5. Identification of the preparation	Pre-printed labels	Labels not printed at the time	Possible errors on pre-printed labels, forgetting to change information on the label	Labels printed after the preparation is finished, with the addition of symbols depending on the type of medicine	Labels personalised on the spot	Unambiguous setting of the expiry date of the preparation, avoid errors in the identification of the finished product
6. Recording and traceability of preparation and pharmaceutical personnel	Manual signature of quality controls	Manual signature	Not all steps in the preparation process are signed	Digital signature of all quality controls	Digital signature with DNI card	Signature with DNI card, mandatory signature of the final preparation by pharmacists because they have the necessary permissions, final validation only if all controls have been recorded
7. Traceability in the event of an alert by the AEMPS	Manual search for affected batches	Manual search, incomplete	Very laborious search process	Computerised search of affected batches	Computerised search, totally complete	Simple search

Abbreviations: AEMPS, Spanish Agency for Medicines and Health Products; DNI, Spanish national identity number; SOPs, standard operating procedures.

pharmacotechnical store, general store, home care, palliative care, medical day hospital, home hospitalisation unit, and oncology day hospital.

Quality controls

A total of 13 quality controls were recorded, to be conducted by the preparation staff or by the pharmacists validating each preparation. As indicated in the GBBPP and the National Formulary, non-sterile preparations should undergo checks for pH, final appearance, and organoleptic characteristics. Sterile preparations require gravimetric control, as well as checks for clarity and final appearance.

Discussion

All medicines produced by pharmacy services must be safe and effective. To this end, Spanish and European regulations must be followed.

Pharmacists are technically responsible for preparing medicines and must take the actions needed to ensure that preparations are suitable for use, safe, effective, and meet defined quality standards. Hence, the computerisation of the preparation process will enable and facilitate the achievement of all these objectives.

An advantage of computerisation in the preparation area is the complete traceability of the final product, from the preparation order to the final pharmaceutical validation (Table 3).

One of the strengths of the study is that the results obtained are real-world data collected from the preparation area of a hospital pharmacy service. These results also represent initial data on implementing a computerised system for the safe preparation of medicines in a clean room within a Spanish pharmacy service. These data could potentially aid in the adoption of this system in other pharmacy services.

Limitations include the high cost of traceability technologies and the absence of standardised identification codes, which implies the need to re-label raw materials and pharmaceutical specialities prior to the preparation process. Hazardous sterile preparations were not included in this system because there is no single working system in the preparation area.

In conclusion, we highlight that the complete traceability of the preparation process ensures an extremely high level of safety, thereby meeting the established quality standards for the preparation of medicines in Spanish hospital pharmacy services.

Contribution to the scientific literature

The results and conclusions of this study may serve as a valuable starting point for other pharmacy services wishing to implement a traceability and safe drug preparation system in a clean room.

Ethical responsibilities

The corresponding author declares that all authors have consented to the submission and publication of the article submitted for review.

The article is original, has not been previously published, and has not been simultaneously submitted for review by any other journal.

The article does not include unpublished material copied from other authors without their consent.

Funding

None declared.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Marta Echávarri de Miguel: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Belén Riva de la Hoz:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Margarita Cuervas-Mons Vendrell:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Beatriz Leal Pino:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision. **Luis Fernandez Romero:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision.

Declaration of competing interest

None declared.

Acknowledgements

Noe González Rodríguez, co-founder and manager at Basesoft.

References

1. Svensson EM, Du Bois J, Kitshoff R, De Jager VR, Wiesner L, Norman J, et al. Relative bio-availability of bedaquiline tablets suspended in water: implications for dosing in children. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2018;84(10):2384–92. doi: 10.1111/bcp.13696.
2. Pharmaceutical Inspection Co-operation Scheme PIC/S. Guide to good practices for the preparation of medicinal products in healthcare establishments. [Monografía en internet]. Pharmaceutical inspection co-operation scheme. [citado 3/7/2023] Available from: <https://picscheme.org/docview/3443>.
3. Real Decreto-ley 16/2012, de 20 de abril, de medidas urgentes para garantizar la sostenibilidad del Sistema Nacional de Salud y mejorar la calidad y seguridad de sus prestaciones. *Boletín Oficial del Estado.* 24 de abril de 2012;98.
4. Real Decreto 175/2001, de 23 de febrero, por el que se aprueban las normas de correcta elaboración y control de calidad de fórmulas magistrales y preparados oficinales. *Boletín Oficial del Estado.* 16 de marzo de 2001;65.
5. Causas Lara ME, Tarno Fernández ML, Martín de Rosales Cabrera AM, García Salom P, López Cabezas C, Dávila Pousa C, Vila Clerigues MN, Alonso Herreros JM, Pernía López MS. Guía de buenas prácticas de preparación de medicamentos en servicios de farmacia hospitalaria [Monografía en Internet]. Madrid: Subdirección general de calidad de medicamentos y productos sanitarios; 2014 [citado 3/7/2023]. Available from: <https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/farmacia/pdf/GuiaBPP3.pdf>.
6. Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Seguridad clínica y farmacia hospitalaria: Documento de posicionamiento sobre trazabilidad y uso seguro del medicamento en Hospitales [Monografía en Internet]. Madrid: Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria; 2022 [citado: 3/7/2023]. Available from: https://www.sefh.es/bibliotecavirtual/posicionamientos_institucionales/13-sefh-posicionamiento-trazabilidad-uso-medicamento.pdf.