G Model FARMA-665; No. of Pages 7

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1-7



Farmacia HOSPITALARIA Organo oficial de expresión científica de la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria

MODERATION OF THE PROPERTY OF

www.elsevier.es/farmaciahospitalaria

Original article

[Translated article] Extravasations of non-cytotoxic drugs: A survey on pharmacist involvement in Spanish hospitals

Inés Jiménez-Lozano^{a,*}, Jose Manuel Caro-Teller^b, Montserrat Pérez-Encinas^c, Helena Esteban Cartelle^d, Juan Manuel Rodríguez-Camacho^e, María José Fernández-Megía^f, Sergio Plata Paniagua^g and María José Otero^h

- ^a Servicio de Farmacia, Consorci Sanitari Alt Penedés-Garraf, Barcelona, Spain
- ^b Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain
- ^c Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain
- d Servicio de Farmacia, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Santiago de Compostela, La Coruña, Spain
- ^e Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Córdoba, Spain
- ^f Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe, Valencia, Spain
- ^g Servicio de Farmacia, Gerencia de Atención Integrada de Albacete, Albacete, Spain
- ^h Instituto para el Uso Seguro de los Medicamentos (ISMP-España), IBSAL-Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 April 2025 Accepted 15 June 2025 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Surveys and questionnaires Extravasation of diagnostic and therapeutic materials Non-cytotoxic drugs Pharmaceutical care Patient safety

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aims to evaluate the involvement of hospital pharmacists in the protocolization, management and clinical follow-up of non-cytotoxic drug extravasations in Spanish hospitals.

Methods: A survey was distributed through the SEFH email list using the REDCap® web platform. The characteristics of the participating hospitals, the availability of a protocol for managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations, the healthcare professionals involved in developing the protocol, and the pharmacists' involvement and clinical follow-up in response to these incidents were investigated.

Results: A total of 89 complete responses were obtained from hospitals across 14 Autonomous Communities. Only 12 centers (13.5%) had a protocol that included recommendations for the extravasation of non-cytotoxic drugs, and in 11 (91.7%) of these, the pharmacist had participated in its development. The recommendations for extravasation management were mainly based on intrinsic drug properties such as pH and osmolarity, specific properties like vasoconstrictor drugs, or the classification of drugs as vesicants, irritants, or non-irritants. Wide variability was observed in recommendations regarding the application of cold or heat and hyaluronidase dosage. The decision to consult with pharmacy service regarding the management of extravasation depended largely on the individual nurse or physician's judgment, but 20 centers (22.5%) reported that they never received such consultations. A large proportion of Pharmacy Services (73.0%) reported that they participate in extravasation management by providing information by telephone, but 21 centers (23.6%) indicated that the pharmacist never participates in these adverse events. Limited involvement was also noted in the clinical assessment of the patient, follow-up of the extravasation, and documentation in the patient's medical history.

Conclusion: The results of this survey reflect the limited standardization in the management of non-cytotoxic drug extravasations, as well as significant heterogeneity in the level of involvement of hospital pharmacists in these adverse events across hospitals in our country. The need to establish a national guideline or document on the management of non-cytotoxic drug extravasations is highlighted, along with the importance of promoting interdisciplinary collaboration to improve patient safety.

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farma.2025.06.011.

E-mail address: ijimenezl@csapg.cat (I. Jiménez-Lozano).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farma.2025.06.015

1130-6343/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: Jiménez-Lozano I, et al.. [Translated article] Extravasations of non-cytotoxic drugs: A survey on pharmacist involvement in Spanish hospitals. Farmacia Hospitalaria. 2025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farma.2025.06.015

Corresponding author.

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1-7

Extravasaciones de fármacos no citotóxicos: encuesta sobre la participación del farmacéutico en los hospitales españoles

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:
Encuestas y cuestionarios
Extravasación de materiales diagnósticos y
terapéuticos
Fármacos no citotóxicos
Atención farmacéutica
Seguridad del paciente

Objetivo: conocer el grado de implicación de los farmacéuticos hospitalarios en la protocolización, el manejo y el seguimiento clínico de las extravasaciones de medicamentos no citotóxicos en los hospitales españoles. *Métodos:* se realizó una encuesta en la plataforma web REDCap®, que se difundió a través de la lista de distribución de correo de la Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Se consultaron las características de los hospitales participantes, la protocolización del manejo de las extravasaciones de fármacos no citotóxicos y los profesionales sanitarios que participaron en la elaboración del protocolo, así como la implicación y el seguimiento clínico de los farmacéuticos ante estos incidentes.

Resultados: se obtuvieron 89 respuestas completas del cuestionario, procedentes de hospitales de 14 comunidades autónomas. Únicamente 12 centros (13,5%) disponían de un protocolo que incluía recomendaciones para las extravasaciones de medicamentos no citotóxicos, y en 11 (91,7%) de ellos el farmacéutico había participado en su elaboración. Las recomendaciones se basaban principalmente en propiedades intrínsecas a los fármacos, como el pH y la osmolaridad, en propiedades específicas como la vasoconstricción, o en su clasificación como vesicantes, irritantes o no irritantes. Se observó una gran variabilidad en las recomendaciones de cuándo aplicar frío o calor, o en la dosificación de hialuronidasa. Las consultas a los servicios de farmacia sobre el manejo de las extravasaciones dependían principalmente del criterio individual del enfermero o del médico, y en 20 centros (22,5%) se reportó que nunca recibían este tipo de consultas. Una gran parte de los servicios de farmacia (73,0%) reportó que participa en el manejo de la extravasación, proporcionando información por vía telefónica, pero en 21 centros (23,6%) se indicó que el farmacéutico nunca participa en estos acontecimientos adversos. Se observó también una escasa participación en la valoración clínica del paciente, el seguimiento de la extravasación y su registro en la historia clínica del paciente.

Conclusión: los resultados de la encuesta reflejan la escasa protocolización del manejo de las extravasaciones por fármacos no citotóxicos, así como una notable heterogeneidad en el grado de implicación del farmacéutico hospitalario en estos eventos adversos en los hospitales de nuestro país. Se destaca la necesidad de establecer una guía o documento nacional sobre el manejo de las extravasaciones de medicamentos no citotóxicos, así como de fomentar la colaboración interdisciplinaria para mejorar la seguridad del paciente.

© 2025 Los Autores. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The intravenous administration of medications can result in the unintentional leakage of fluid into perivascular or subcutaneous spaces. Although these incidents may have minimal consequences, they can sometimes lead to serious injuries with long-term sequelae, particularly in the case of vesicant medications.

The terms extravasation and infiltration are often used interchangeably in the literature. However, the 2024 Infusion Therapy Practice Standards² define extravasation as the "unintended infiltration of a vesicant solution or medication into surrounding tissue", whereas infiltration refers to the "unintended administration of a non-vesicant solution or medication into surrounding tissues."

The scientific literature suggests that the incidence rate of extravasation in the general population ranges from 0.1% to 0.7%. When analysed by therapeutic group, cytotoxic agents have the highest incidence rate, ranging from 0.1% to 6%. The reported incidence rate is even higher in the paediatric and neonatal population, ranging from 11% to 58%. These rates may be significantly underestimated due to the absence of a centralised incident registry.

The impact of extravasation is mainly determined by its location and volume, although the physicochemical properties of the drugs also play a crucial role.⁵

Although there are specific recommendations for managing specific drugs or therapeutic groups, such as radiological contrast media⁶ or antineoplastic agents,⁷ information on other drugs is limited or absent. Such information is derived only from the isolated experience of certain authors or hospitals and is not supported by larger studies. In exceptional cases, indications for their management can only be found in the Summary of Product Characteristics for medicines.

Due to their knowledge of the physicochemical properties of medicines (e.g., pH, osmolarity), pharmacists can provide guidance on managing extravasation and assessing the potential risks of these incidents. Their involvement is essential for optimising the management of an extravasation event using a multidisciplinary approach that includes patients, nurses, pharmacists, and doctors. Furthermore, implementing standardised protocols for managing cytotoxic and non-cytotoxic drug extravasations in healthcare institutions can help to improve prevention and reduce associated complications.⁵

The aim of this study was to determine the extent to which hospital pharmacists are involved in the protocolisation, management, and clinical follow-up of non-cytotoxic drug extravasations in Spanish hospitals. This was done in order to subsequently assess the need to develop a protocol or consensus document to guide pharmacists and other healthcare professionals in managing these incidents.

Methods

An online survey on non-cytotoxic drug extravasation was designed by the Clinical Safety Working Group of the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH) using the REDCap-SEFH web platform. The survey was approved by all group members. As no clinical data were to be collected, the study did not require approval from the drug research ethics committees. In addition, the information provided by the hospitals was aggregated and anonymised.

The survey was distributed via the SEFH members' email distribution list in February 2024 and was available for a period of 30 days. Only 1 response per hospital was allowed.

The questionnaire was divided into 3 sections (see Appendix). The first section collected information on the characteristics of each hospital

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1-7

including its autonomous community, type of hospital (Groups 1 to 6, according to the "Classification of Spanish public hospitals using cluster analysis"), funding model, number of beds, healthcare coverage, and specific clinical services offered. The number of specialist pharmacists and the availability of accreditation for specialised healthcare training were also documented. The second section collected information on the availability of an internal protocol for managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations or any related protocol, the participation of pharmacists in its development, the drug properties on which the protocol recommendations are based, and the pharmacological and non-pharmacological recommendations themselves.

The third section addressed the notification of such adverse events to the pharmacy service, the pharmacy service's involvement in these consultations, the type of recommendations made (e.g., general or specific), how this activity is documented, and the availability of antidotes for extravasations. In addition, the respondents were asked if they thought a protocol or guideline for managing these extravasations was needed.

Results

A total of 90 responses were obtained. One response was discarded as it was incomplete. Hospitals across 14 autonomous communities participated. Table 1 shows the geographical distribution of the participating hospitals and their characteristics.

Only 12 hospitals (13.5%) had a protocol that included recommendations for non-cytotoxic drug extravasation. Pharmacists had participated in developing the protocol in 11 of these hospitals (91.7%), nurses and doctors were involved in 6 hospitals (50.0%), and surgeons participated in 2 of these 6 hospitals.

Table 2 shows the type of protocols available in the participating hospitals and the recommendations for managing extravasations. The recommended guidelines for the use of cold or warm compresses were specified by only 7 hospitals, and there were marked variations in the duration and number of daily applications. The most common guideline (n=5) for the use of cold compresses was 20 min (range 15–60 min), 4 times a day (range 3–6), for 2 days (range 1–3), whereas for warm compresses (n=4), it was 20 min (range 15–20 min), 4 times a day (range 3–4), for 2 days (range 1–3).

The most common regimen (n=8) for hyaluronidase involved subcutaneous injection of 150 IU, administered as 4 to 6 injections of 0.2 mL from the 150 IU/mL formulation. However, the reconstitution volume of the lyophilised hyaluronidase powder, and therefore the final concentration of the vial, varied considerably. This resulted in a wide dosage range (12–1800 IU) and variable numbers and volumes of administrations. Only 1 hospital (1.1%) included Burow's solution (aluminium acetate) in its recommendations.

Table 3 shows the results relating to the reporting of extravasations by pharmacy services, the involvement of pharmacists in these consultations, the types of recommendations made, how often this activity was documented, and the availability of antidotes for extravasations.

All respondents agreed on the need for a national guideline or consensus document on managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations.

Discussion

A total of 89 hospitals participated in the survey, providing a moderately sized but diverse sample in terms of geographical distribution and level of healthcare complexity. The study included hospitals from almost all of Spain's autonomous communities, with the majority classified in complexity level group 3.

Few protocols were available on managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations, with only 13.5% of hospitals having one. This result contrasts with those of the survey published in 2024 by the Spanish Oncology Pharmacy Group (GEDEFO) of the SEFH. It showed that a significantly higher percentage of Spanish hospitals (85.4%) reported

Table 1Characteristics of participating hospitals and corresponding pharmacy services.

Type of hospital Group 1 (small regional hospitals) 10 (11.2) Group 2 (basic general hospitals) 20 (22.5) Group 3 (area hospitals) 27 (30.3) Group 4 (groups of large hospitals, some of which are referral hospitals) 12 (13.5) hospitals Group 5 (large complex hospitals or referral hospitals) 15 (16.9) Group 6 (lunclassifiable hospitals) 5 (5.6) Autonomous community Andalusia 9 (10.1) Aragon 1 (1.1) Gastile-La Mancha 7 (7.9) Gastile and León 1 (1.1) Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia 6 (6.7) Galici	Descriptive categories	n (%)
Croup 2 (basic general hospitals) 20 (22.5)	Type of hospital	
Group 3 (area hospitals) Group 4 (groups of large hospitals, some of which are referral hospitals) Group 5 (large complex hospitals or referral hospitals) Group 5 (large complex hospitals) Group 6 (unclassifiable hospitals) Autonomous community Andalusia Aragon I (1.1) Cantabria Cantabria Castile-la Mancha Castile-la Mancha Castile-la Mancha Catalonia Chartered Community of Navarre I (1.1) Valencian Community Valencian Community Valencian Community I (1.1) Community of Madrid Balearic Islands I (1.1) Community of Madrid Balearic Islands I (1.1) Community of Madrid Basque Country Joint Marcia Aragin A (4.5) Funding model Public Publ	Group 1 (small regional hospitals)	10 (11.2)
Croup 4 (groups of large hospitals, some of which are referral hospitals) 15 (16.9)	Group 2 (basic general hospitals)	20 (22.5)
Croup 4 (groups of large hospitals, some of which are referral hospitals) 15 (16.9)		
Second		
Group 5 (large complex hospitals or referral hospitals) Group 6 (unclassifiable hospitals) Autonomous community Andalusia Aragon 1 (1.1) Cantabria 1 (1.1) Castile-la Mancha 7 (7.9) Castile and León 1 (1.1) Catalonia 13 (14.6) Chartered Community of Navarre 1 (1.1) Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia Balearic Islands 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia Funding model Public Private 0ther 1 (1.1) Hospital size > 500 beds 29 (32.6) 251−500 beds 101−250 beds 110−250 beds 110		()
Group 6 (unclassifiable hospitals) Autonomous community Andalusia 9 (10.1) Aragon 1 (1.1) Castile-La Mancha 7 (7.9) Castile-La Mancha 13 (14.6) Chartered Community of Navarre Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia Funding model Public Public Private 9 500 beds 251-500 beds 251-500 beds 101-250 beds 1101-250 beds 19 (21.3) \$100 beds Healthcare coverage General Specialised Specialised Specialised Specialised Specialised Specialised Specialised Adult intensive care medicine Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation Medical or specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 6 (6.7) 8 (9.0) 1 (1.1) 1 (1.1) 1 (1.1) 1	1 /	15 (169)
Autonomous community Andalusia 9 (10.1) Aragon 1 (1.1) Cantabria 1 (1.1) Castile-La Mancha 7 (7.9) Castile and León 1 (1.1) Catalonia 13 (14.6) Chartered Community of Navarre 1 (1.1) Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251−500 beds 32 (36.0) 101−250 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (9.44) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ¹⁶ Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 92 (37.6) Paediatric intensive care medicine 99 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 99 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service < 5 26 (29.2) 5−10 24 (27.0) 11−15 17 (19.1) 16−20 9 (10.1) Per Carteriate Intensive Care of the althcare training (hospital pharmacy Yes 62 (69.7)		
Andalusia Aragon Cantabria Castle-La Mancha Castile-La Mancha (7 (7.9) Castile and León Chartered Community of Navarre Valencian Community Valencian Community Id (15.7) Galicia Balearic Islands Community of Madrid Basque Country Basque Country Region of Murcia Funding model Public Private Vother Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 30 (30.9) 71 (79.8) Neonatology Specialised Specific units or services in the hospital ¹⁸ Paediatrics Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatal intensive care medicine 30 (36.0) Peadiatric intensive care medicine 30 (37.9) Neonatal intensive care medicine 30 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 30 (37.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <5 26 (29.2) 5-10 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 20 Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		3 (3.0)
Aragon Castile-La Mancha Castile-La Mancha Castile and León Catalonia Catalonia Chartered Community of Navarre Valencian Community Valencian Community Valencian Community Valencian Community Galicia Gofe, Balearic Islands Gommunity of Madrid Basque Country Jordina Sasque Country Jordina Sasque Country Jordina Region of Murcia Punding model Public Sommunity Volter	•	0 (10 1)
Cantabria 1 (1.1) Castile-La Mancha 7 (7.9) Castile and León 1 (1.1) Catalonia 13 (14.6) Chartered Community of Navarre 1 (1.1) Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251−500 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital [®] Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <		
Castile-La Mancha Castile and León Catile and León Catalonia 13 (14.6) Chartered Community of Navarre 11 (1.1) Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias Region of Murcia Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 80 (89.9) Private 80 (89.9) Private 80 (89.9) Private 80 (89.9) Other 11 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 31 (34.8) As (100 beds 44.4) Specialised Specific units or services in the hospital ^{et} Paediatrics Region of services in the hospital ^{et} Paediatric intensive care medicine Paediatric intensive care medicine Paediatric intensive care medicine Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult intensive rare medicine Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult intensive rare medicine Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult anaesthesia and resuscitati	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	, ,
Castile and León Catalonia Catalonia Chartered Community of Navarre Valencian Community Valencian Community I (1.1) Galicia Galicia G (6.7) Balearic Islands G (6.7) Balearic Islands I (1.1) Community of Madrid Basque Country Principality of Asturias Region of Murcia Funding model Public Public Private S (9.0) Other I (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 29 (32.6) 101-250 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 4 (94.4) Specialised Specific units or services in the hospital ⁶ Paediatrics Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Paediatric intensive care medicine Paediatric intensive care medicine Paediatric intensive care medicine Paediatric intensive care medicine Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Adult intensive care medicine Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Paedicar or private medicine Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation Paedicar or multipurpose day hospital No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 26 (29.2) 5-10 11-15 11-15 11-19.1) 16-20 20 Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		, ,
Catalonia 13 (14.6) Chartered Community of Navarre 1 (1.1) Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 19 (21.3) £100 beds 19 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital* Paediatric intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Readiatric intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) 20 Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Chartered Community Valencian Community Valencian Community Galicia Galicia Ge (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias Region of Murcia Funding model Public Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 2100 beds 31 (36.0) Neonatal intensive care medicine Paediatric intensive care medicine Adult intensive care medicine Paediatric inte		
Valencian Community 14 (15.7) Galicia 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model 4 (4.5) Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists i		
Galicia 6 (6.7) Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251−500 beds 32 (36.0) 101−250 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <5 26 (29.2) 5−10 11−15 17 (19.1) 16−20 9 (10.1) 220 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)	· ·	, ,
Balearic Islands 1 (1.1) Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <5 26 (29.2) 5-10 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)	•	
Community of Madrid 27 (30.3) Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 5500 beds 251–500 beds 29 (32.6) 251–500 beds 19 (21.3) 3100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage 9 (10.1) General 84 (94.4) Specific units or services in the hospital ¹⁰ Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for spec		, ,
Basque Country 3 (3.4) Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 5500 beds 251–500 beds 29 (32.6) 251–500 beds 19 (21.3) 3 100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage 9 (10.1) General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ¹⁰ Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 5 5-10 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6)		, ,
Principality of Asturias 1 (1.1) Region of Murcia 4 (4.5) Funding model 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 500 beds >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251–500 beds 32 (36.0) 101–250 beds 19 (21.3) \$100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^G 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised h		
Region of Murcia Funding model Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 251-500 beds 31 (36.0) 101-250 beds 4100 beds 59 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital Pacilitation and pacing pac		
Funding model 80 (89.9) Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 29 (32.6) >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)	* *	, ,
Public 80 (89.9) Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 1 (1.1) >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage 84 (94.4) General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)	•	4 (4.5)
Private 8 (9.0) Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 1 (1.1) >500 beds 29 (32.6) 251-500 beds 32 (36.0) 101-250 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage Feneral General 84 (94.4) Specific units or services in the hospital ¹⁰ Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Other 1 (1.1) Hospital size 29 (32.6) 251–500 beds 32 (36.0) 101–250 beds 19 (21.3) ±100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage Feneral General 84 (94.4) Specific units or services in the hospital Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 5 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Hospital size		
29 (32.6)		1 (1.1)
251–500 beds 32 (36.0) 101–250 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <5 26 (29.2) 5−10 24 (27.0) 11−15 17 (19.1) 16−20 9 (10.1) 20 Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)	•	
101–250 beds 19 (21.3) ≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <5 26 (29.2) 5–10 24 (27.0) 11–15 17 (19.1) 16–20 9 (10.1) 20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacoy) Yes 62 (69.7)		, ,
≤100 beds 9 (10.1) Healthcare coverage 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a 71 (79.8) Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes		
Healthcare coverage General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes		
General 84 (94.4) Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^{et} Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		9 (10.1)
Specialised 5 (5.6) Specific units or services in the hospital ^a 71 (79.8) Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) 62 (69.7)		
Specific units or services in the hospital ^o Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		, ,
Paediatrics 71 (79.8) Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 9 (10.1) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes	1	5 (5.6)
Neonatology 59 (66.3) Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes		
Neonatal intensive care medicine 32 (36.0) Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes		
Paediatric intensive care medicine 69 (77.5) Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)	03	
Paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation 31 (34.8) Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Adult intensive care medicine 37 (41.6) Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Adult anaesthesia and resuscitation 79 (88.8) Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		` ,
Medical or multipurpose day hospital 80 (89.9) No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
No. of specialist pharmacists in the pharmacy service <5 26 (29.2) 5-10 24 (27.0) 11-15 17 (19.1) 16-20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
<5		80 (89.9)
5–10 24 (27.0) 11–15 17 (19.1) 16–20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
11–15		, ,
16–20 9 (10.1) >20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
>20 13 (14.6) Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Accreditation for specialised healthcare training (hospital pharmacy) Yes 62 (69.7)		
Yes 62 (69.7)		
No 27 (30.3)		
	No	27 (30.3)

Total sample = 89.

having a protocol for managing cytotoxic drug extravasations. However, our study showed that pharmacists were involved in the development of protocols for non-cytotoxic drugs in almost all cases.

Most protocols base their recommendations for handling extravasation on physicochemical properties, such as pH and osmolarity, as well as on specific pharmacological properties, as in the case of vasoconstrictors. These criteria are consistent with the recommendations described in the scientific literature. ^{1,7,10–13} However, it was observed that the concentration or volume of extravasated material is not usually considered when assessing the risk of injury, despite this factor being highlighted in multiple studies and guidelines. ^{1,7,10,14–16} Neither is the severity of the extravasation systematically assessed. ^{13,17}

There was wide variability among the participating hospitals in terms of the properties taken into account when recommending the application of local cold or warm compresses. This result is consistent with

^a Multiple responses allowed.

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1–7

Table 2Type of protocols available and recommendations for managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations.

Evaluation item	n (%)
Availability of a protocol for managing extravasations	
Protocol for cytotoxic drugs only	65 (73.0)
Protocol for cytotoxic drugs and another for non-cytotoxic drugs	10 (11.2)
Protocol for non-cytotoxic drugs only	1 (1.1)
General protocol for extravasations	1 (1.1)
No protocol	12 (13.5)
On what basis are recommendations for the management of non-	
cytotoxic drug extravasations made? ^a	
% of total hospitals with a protocol that includes non-cytotoxic drugs	
(n = 12)	
Vesicant, irritant, or non-irritant properties	9 (75.0)
pH and osmolarity	11 (91.7)
Specific properties (e.g., vasoconstrictors)	10 (83.3)
Concentration and extravasated volume	3 (25)
Other	1 (8.3)
On what basis are cold or warm compresses recommended? ^a	
Vesicant, irritant, or non-irritant properties	5 (41.7)
pH and osmolarity properties	5 (41.7)
The specific literature for each drug	9 (75.0)
Unspecified	0 (0.0)

Total sample = 89.

the information in the available literature, which does not offer a standardised approach to locally treating non-cytotoxic drug extravasation. However, there is a consensus on the recommendation to apply local cold compresses in the event of extravasation of vesicant agents. ¹⁰ In contrast, recommendations on the duration of each application and the number of treatment days are more diverse, possibly because this factor has not been studied in depth.

Regarding the recommendation for subcutaneous administration of hyaluronidase, there was also considerable variability in the reconstitution volume of the lyophilised vial, resulting in different concentrations of the reconstituted drug and, consequently, variations in the administered dose. The scientific literature recommends a range from 15 to 150 IU, reflecting the lack of consensus in this area. 1,7,10 These differences in administered doses were also noted in the survey results on managing cytotoxic drugs, where the authors related them to the wide variability observed in the published literature. However, in Spain, it was clear that the standard practice relied on the use of intermediate doses, as recommended in the European Society for Medical Oncology/European Oncology Nursing Society clinical practice guidelines and by certain Spanish and European bodies. 9,18 The recommendation to use Burow's water is anecdotal and coincides with the absence of this recommendation in the literature.

It was found that the involvement of pharmacists in managing extravasations was highly variable. Although some hospitals reported that pharmacists were actively involved in extravasations—participating in clinical assessments (9.0%), referrals to clinical teams or surgery (4.5%), and monitoring extravasations (13.5%)—the majority reported providing information by telephone (73.0%) or stated that they had not participated in these safety incidents (23.6%). While many pharmacy services are contacted solely at the discretion of the healthcare professional who detects the extravasation, over 20% of the participating hospitals stated that they never receive this type of consultation, suggesting a lack of awareness of the pharmacists' role in such events. In hospitals where consultations do take place, pharmacists stated that they mainly rely on external protocols or consult specific literature, due to the lack of internal protocols.

There are a few studies in the scientific literature that specifically describe the role of pharmacists in managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasation. As early as 1997, Mateu et al.¹¹ highlighted the role of

Table 3Participation of the pharmacy service in non-cytotoxic drug extravasations, source of recommendations, and availability of antidotes.

Evaluation item	n (%)
Notification to the pharmacy service of non-cytotoxic drug extravasations	
Always	2 (2.2)
At the individual discretion of the nurse or doctor	65 (73.0)
According to protocol, based on the severity of the extravasation or the patient's profile	1 (1.1)
Never	20 (22.5)
Other	1 (1.1)
Involvement of the pharmacy service in non-cytotoxic drug extravasation ^a	
Participation in the clinical assessment of patients	8 (9.0)
Managing referrals to the clinical and surgical team if necessary	4 (4.5)
Participation in follow-up of extravasation	12 (13.5)
Providing information by telephone on managing the extravasation	65 (73.0)
Does not participate	21 (23.6)
On what basis are recommendations made by pharmacists for non- cytotoxic drug extravasation? ^a	
Specific management according to external protocol	22 (24.7)
Specific management according to local protocol	12 (13.5)
General management (limb elevation application of cold or warm compresses)	23 (25.8)
No recommendations are made	22 (24.7)
Other	10 (11.2)
Do pharmacists document their intervention in the patient's medical record?	
Yes, always	8 (9.0)
Only on certain occasions	19 (21.3)
Never	62 (69.7)
Are antidotes available in the pharmacy service ^a	
Yes	79 (88.8)
Hyaluronidase	74 (83.1)
Phentolamine	27 (30.3)
Topical nitroglycerin	26 (29.2)
Other	25 (28.1)
No	10 (11.2)
Are antidotes available in clinical units (excluding oncohematological units)?	
Yes, in all units	1 (1.1)
Only in some units	18 (20.2)
In none	70 (78.8)

Total sample = 89.

pharmacists in such incidents, considering their contribution valuable in searching the literature for useful information on managing extravasation, including clinical assessment, treatment, and patient follow-up. In 2020, Smoulders et al. emphasised the role of pharmacists in providing information on managing extravasation based on physicochemical properties, assessing potential risks, and actively participating in the development of institutional protocols. All of the above form part of a multidisciplinary approach involving patients, nurses, pharmacists, and doctors, with the aim of improving the extravasation treatment.⁵ Other authors have also highlighted the role of pharmacists in managing cytotoxic drug extravasation, highlighting the need for standardised management, updated recommendations based on available evidence, and monitoring and documenting the interventions carried out.^{19,20}

Our survey showed that pharmacist interventions in non-cytotoxic drug extravasations are not routinely documented, with only 9% of hospitals documenting the consultations received. In contrast, this activity is significantly more standardised regarding cytotoxic drug extravasations. Jiménez-Pulido et al. found that 66.2% (45/68) of hospitals had a specific register for extravasations, although 22.2% (10/45) stated that it was not used in practice. There was a wide variation in the availability of antidotes between hospitals.

Although hyaluronidase was available in 88.8% (79) of pharmacy services, only 30% stocked phentolamine or topical nitroglycerin, both

^a Multiple responses allowed.

^a Multiple responses allowed.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

I. Jiménez-Lozano, J.M. Caro-Teller, M. Pérez-Encinas et al.

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1-7

of which are necessary for managing extravasations of drugs with vasoconstrictive properties. In general, none were available in clinical units. This situation would be acceptable if antidotes could be dispensed without delay, 24 h a day, and if their administration were subject to a medical prescription and pharmaceutical validation. Having a protocol in place to prevent and manage extravasation and ensuring its proper distribution is key to improving patient safety.

A study conducted in Saudi Arabia found that only 9.6% of the 387 nursing professionals surveyed had adequate knowledge of how to manage non-cytotoxic drug extravasations.²¹ The authors suggested that protocolising extravasation management could significantly raise awareness of the potential harm of these events and reinforce the need for collaborative action to provide the best possible care.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the results are based on a sample of hospitals that participated voluntarily and thus do not represent all hospitals in Spain. Secondly, although our survey enabled us to collect information on the various aspects under investigation, this methodological approach may overlook more nuanced aspects, and variations in the responses may not be reflected in the results.

In conclusion, the degree to which pharmacists are involved in managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations varies considerably across hospitals in Spain. In most cases, pharmacists provide recommendations by telephone (73.0%) and some even participate in clinical assessment (9.0%) or patient follow-up (13.5%). However, 23.6% of hospitals acknowledged that their pharmacy service does not participate in managing this type of incident. The limited literature on this issue, coupled with the absence of agreed guidelines, means that the protocolisation of managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasation remains a challenge for many hospitals, as reflected in the survey results. It is clear that Spanish national guidelines or documentation on managing non-cytotoxic drug extravasations need to be established, and that interdisciplinary collaboration must be promoted to improve patient safety.

Contribution to the scientific literature

This study highlights the absence of protocols for managing noncytotoxic drug extravasations in hospitals in Spain, as well as significant differences in the degree of pharmacist involvement in the care and follow-up of patients involved in these incidents. The variability found in the recommended pharmacological and non-pharmacological measures reflects the lack of guidelines for managing many non-cytotoxic drugs.

In order to offer optimal management and promote interdisciplinary collaboration with the aim of improving patient safety, we emphasise the need for a Spanish national guideline or document compiling the best available evidence on non-cytotoxic drug extravasations.

Declaration of authorship

All authors declare that they have made significant contributions to the manuscript. Each author participated in the following:

Inés Jiménez Lozano participated in conceiving the project, designing the survey, and data collection, analysis and interpretation. She was responsible for writing, reviewing, and preparing the article for submission.

Jose Manuel Caro Teller participated in conceiving the project, designing the survey, and data interpretation. He participated in writing and critically reviewing the article.

Montserrat Pérez Encinas, Helena Esteban Cartelle, Juan Manuel Rodríguez Camacho, María Jose Fernández Megía, Sergio Plata Paniagua, and María José Otero participated in designing the survey, interpreting the results, and critically reviewing the manuscript, making important intellectual contributions.

Inés Jiménez Lozano, María José Otero, and Montserrat Pérez Encinas approved the final version for publication.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgements

The SEFH Clinical Safety Group would like to thank the members, scholarship holder, and resident who, although not named in the article header, supported the development of the survey project at each stage: Begoña Tortajada Goitia, Carmen María Cuadros Martínez, Saúl Herrera Carranza, Pilar Alonso Castell, Andrea Lázaro Cebas, and Rocío Bello Calvo

We would also like to thank the GEDEFO working group for sharing their previous experience of conducting a survey on managing cytotoxic drug extravasations, which motivated the authors to conduct this survey. We also thank the paediatrics group for collaborating with GEDEFO on the initial project proposal.

Finally, the Clinical Safety Group extends its thanks to all the pharmacists who participated in the survey, as their responses have raised awareness of the need to improve knowledge of managing noncytotoxic drug extravasation. In particular, we would like to thank the pharmacy services of the following hospitals:

Vigo University Hospital Complex

Navarra University Clinic

A Coruña University Hospital Complex

Lugo University Hospital Complex

Ourense University Hospital Complex

Santiago University Hospital Complex

Valencia University General Hospital Consortium

Castellón Provincial Hospital Consortium

Doctor Muñoz Cariñanos

Henares Public Hospital

Sant Joan de Deu Hospital Foundation

Barcelona Hospital

Sant Jaume Hospital

Can Misses Hospital

Red Cross Central Hospital, San José and Santa Adela

Valencia University Clinical Hospital

Virgen de La Arrixaca University Clinical Hospital

L'Alt Penedès Regional Hospital

Costa del Sol Hospital

Gorliz Hospital

La Axarquía Hospital

La Fuenfria Hospital

La Santa Creu I Sant Pau Hospital

La Vega Lorenzo Guirao Hospital

Sabadell Hospital

San José Hospital

Tajo Hospital S.A.

El Escorial Hospital

J.M. Morales Meseguer University Hospital

Almansa General Hospital

Tomelloso General Hospital

Ciudad Real University General Hospital

Albacete University General Hospital Elche University General Hospital

Elda-Virgen De La Salud University General Hospital

Gregorio Marañón University General Hospital

Moncloa University Hospital

Infanta Margarita Hospital

Children's Hospital

Lluís Alcanyis Hospital in Xátiva

(continued on next page)

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1-7

Da Barbanza Public Hospital

Punta de Europa Hospital

Sant Camil Residence Hospital - Consorci Sanitari Del Garraf.

San Juan de Dios Hospital

San Juan de La Cruz Hospital

Sant Vicent Del Raspeig Hospital

Arnau De Vilanova De Lleida University Hospital.

Bellvitge University Hospital

Dr. Josep Trueta University Hospital of Girona

General University Hospital of Catalonia

Joan XXIII University Hospital of Tarragona

Vall D'Hebron University Hospital

12 De Octubre University Hospital

San Carlos Clinical University Hospital

San Cecilio Clinical University Hospital

Cruces University Hospital

Fuenlabrada University Hospital

Getafe University Hospital

Guadalajara University Hospital

La Ribera University Hospital

Móstoles University Hospital

Salamanca University Hospital (Salamanca University Healthcare Complex)

Southeast University Hospital - Southeast Public Hospital Company

Alcorcón Foundation University Hospital

Infanta Elena University Hospital

Infanta Leonor University Hospital

Infanta Sofia University Hospital

La Paz University Hospital

La Zarzuela University Hospital

Príncipe De Asturias University Hospital

Puerta De Hierro Majadahonda University Hospital

Ramón Y Cajal University Hospital

San Agustín University Hospital

San Juan De Alicante University Hospital

Santa Cristina University Hospital

Vinalopó University Hospital

Virgen del Rocío University Hospital

La Fe University and Polytechnic Hospital

Virgen de Altagracia Hospital Virgen de La Luz Hospital

Virgen del Mar Hospital

Declaration on generative and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

None declared.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Inés Jiménez-Lozano: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualisation, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Jose Manuel Caro-Teller: Writing - review & editing, Visualisation, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Montserrat Pérez-Encinas: Writing - review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Helena Esteban Cartelle: Writing - review & editing, Visualisation, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis. Juan Manuel Rodríguez-Camacho: Writing - review & editing, Visualisation, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis. María José Fernández-Megía: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology. Sergio Plata Paniagua: Writing – review & editing, Visualisation, Validation, Methodology. María José Otero: Writing - review & editing, Visualisation, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

Funding

The authors declare that the project received funding from the Spanish Foundation of Hospital Pharmacy as part of the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy's Grants for Working Groups, awarded to the Clinical Safety Working Group, Call for proposals 2023–2024.

References

- 1. Ong J, Van Gerpen R. Recommendations for management of noncytotoxic vesicant extravasations. I Infus Nurs. 2020;43(6):319-343. doi:10.1097/NAN.0000000000000392.
- 2. Nickel B, Gorski L, Kleidon T, Kyes A, DeVries M, Keogh S, et al. Infusion therapy standards of practice, 9th edition. J Infus Nurs. 2024;47(1S Suppl 1):S1-S285. doi:10.1097/NAN.0000000000000532.
- 3. Alexander L. Extravasation injuries: a trivial injury often overlooked with disastrous consequences. World J Plast Surg. 2020;9(3):326–330. doi:10.29252/wjps.9.3.326.
- 4. Kreidieh FY, Moukadem HA, el Saghir NS. Overview, prevention and management of chemotherapy extravasation. World J Clin Oncol, 2016;7 (1):87-97. doi:10.5306/wjco.v7.i1.87.
- 5. Smolders EJ, Benoist GE, Smit CCH, Ter Horst P. An update on extravasation: basic knowledge for clinical pharmacists. Eur J Hosp Pharm. 2020;28(3):165-167. doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2019-002152.
- 6. American College of Radiology. Manual on contrast media 2024 [Internet][accessed 7 Abr 2025]. Available from: https://www.acr.org/ Clinical-Resources/Clinical-Tools-and-Reference/Contrast-Manual.
- 7. Micromedex IBM. Extravasation injury. Micromedex IBM Micromedex [Internet][accessed 5 Nov 2024]. Available from: http://www. micromedexsolutions.com/.
- 8. Ministerio de Sanidad de España. Clasificación hospitales cluster [Internet]. [accessed 4 Mar 2024]. Available from: https://www.sanidad. gob.es/estadEstudios/estadisticas/docs/CMBD/ CLASIFICACIONHOSPITALESCLUSTER.pdf.
- 9. Jiménez-Pulido I, Albert-Marí MA, Conde-Estévez D, San José-Ruiz B, Gil-Lemus MÁ. Cercós-LLetí AC, et al. GEDEFO-SEFH management of antineoplastic extravasations survey results. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2024; 30(1):67-77. doi:10.1177/10781552231167873.
- 10. UpToDate. Extravasation injury from chemotherapy and other nonantineoplastic vesicants [Internet][accessed 12 Sep 2024]. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com.
- 11. Mateu J, Masso-Muniesa J, Clopes A, Odena E, Trullàs M. Consideraciones en el manejo de la extravasación de citostáticos. Farm Hosp. 1997;21:187-194.
- 12. European Oncology Nursing Society (EONS). Extravasation guidelines 2007 [Internet]. Bruselas, Bélgica. [accessed 12 Sep 2024]. Available from: http://www.cancerworld.org/CancerWorld/moduleStaticPage. aspx?id=3891&id_sito=2&id_stato=1S.
- 13. Stefanos SS, Kiser TH, MacLaren R, Mueller SW, Reynolds PM. Management of non cytotoxic extravasation injuries: a focused update on medications, treatment strategies, and peripheral administration of vasopressors and hypertonic saline. Pharmacotherapy. 2023;43(4): 321-337. doi:10.1002/phar.2794.
- 14. David V, Christou N, Etienne P, Almeida M, Roux A, Taibi A, et al. Extravasation of noncytotoxic drugs. Ann Pharmacother. 2020;54(8): 804-814. doi:10.1177/1060028020903406.
- 15. Reynolds PM, MacLaren R, Mueller SW, Fish DN, Kiser TH. Management of extravasation injuries: a focused evaluation of noncytotoxic medications. Pharmacotherapy. 2014;34(6):617-632. doi:10.1002/phar.1396.
- 16. Goutos I, Cogswell LK, Giele H. Extravasation injuries: a review. J Hand Surg Eur. 2014;39(8):808-818. doi:10.1177/1753193413511921.
- 17. Gorski LA, Stranz M, Cook LS, Joseph JM, Kokotis K, Sabatino-Holmes P, et al. Development of an evidence-based list of non cytotoxic vesicant medications and solutions. J Infus Nurs. 2017;40(1):26-40. doi:10.1097/ NAN.0000000000000202.
- 18. Pérez Fidalgo JA, García Fabregat L, Cervantes A, Margulies A, Vidall C, Roila F, ESMO guidelines working group. Management of chemotherapy extravasation: ESMO-EONS clinical practice guidelines. Ann Oncol. 2012; 23(Suppl 7):vii167-vii173. doi:10.1093/annonc/mds294.

G Model FARMA-665; No. of Pages 7

ARTICLE IN PRESS

I. Jiménez-Lozano, J.M. Caro-Teller, M. Pérez-Encinas et al.

Farmacia Hospitalaria xxx (xxxx) 1–7

- 19. Togliardi E, Lanzo G, Antonacci G, Langella R, Festinese F, Saibene G. The role of pharmacists in prevention and management of extravasation. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(vi149).
- 20. Bauters T, Clottens N, Albert-Marí MA. Extravasation of monoclonal antibodies commonly used in oncology: classification, management and the role of the pharmacist. *J Oncol Pharm Pract.* 2024;30(3):488–492. doi:10.1177/10781552231178674.
- 21. Sisan M, Rayan A, Elmorsy S, Elyan H, Salahat M. Knowledge regarding non cytotoxic medication extravasation among registered nurses working in western Saudi Arabia. *J Vasc Nurs.* 2018;36(1):12–22. doi:10. 1016/j.jvn.2017.09.007.