



ORIGINALS Bilingual edition English/Spanish

Implementation and evaluation of a home pharmaceutical care model through Telepharmacy

Implantación y evaluación de un modelo de atención farmacéutica domiciliaria mediante Telefarmacia

Cristina Villanueva-Bueno, Roberto Collado-Borrell, Carmen Guadalupe Rodríguez-González, Vicente Escudero-Vilaplana, Esther Chamorro-de-Vega, Arantza Ais-Larisgoitia, Ana Herranz-Alonso, María Sanjurjo-Sáez

Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid. Spain. Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón. Madrid, Spain.

Author of correspondence

Vicente Escudero Vilaplana Calle Doctor Esquerdo, 46 28007 Madrid. Spain.

Email: vicente.escudero@salud.madrid.org

Received 14 March 2022; Accepted 2 May 2022. DOI: 10.7399/fh.13252

How to cite this paper

- Villanueva-Bueno C, Collado-Borrell R, Rodríguez-González CG, Escudero-Vilaplana V, Chamorro-de-Vega E, Ais-Larisgoitia A, et al.
- Implementation and evaluation of a home pharmaceutical care model through Telepharmacy. Farm Hosp. 2022;46(Supl 1):S36-46.

Abstract

Objective: To describe the impact of a Specialized Pharmaceutical Care model that includes pharmacotherapeutic monitoring of patients through an Telepharmacy platform and home medication dispensing.

Method: A descriptive and retrospective study conducted in the Pharmacy Service of a tertiary hospital, between 23 March 2020 and 31 December 2021. A new pharmaceutical care model for chronic ambulatory patients was developed, including: (i) definition of criteria for selecting Telepharmacy candidate patients; (ii) stratification of patients by risk level; (iii) definition of individualized pharmacotherapeutic monitoring; (iv) adaptation of the Pharmacy Service app platform to ensure continuous pharmacotherapeutic monitoring and patient monitoring (e-Oncohealth, e-Midcare and farMcuida), (v) implementation of an appointment system; and (vi) development of a software module for the management of home medication delivery. The impact of this pharmaceutical care model was assessed by analyzing indicators of activity, safety, adherence and perceived quality. Moreover, an additional study on the impact of COVID-19 was developed in order to assess the accessibility of medical care and continuity of treatment through a survey conducted on a random sample of 100 patients.

KEYWORDS

Telemedicine; Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions; Mobile applications; Patient follow-up; Medication adherence; Patient centered care; Safety; Telepharmacy.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Telemedicina; Efectos adversos y Reacciones adversas a medicamentos; Aplicaciones móviles; Seguimiento del paciente; Adherencia al tratamiento; Cuidado centrado en el paciente; Seguridad; Telefarmacia.

Resumen

Objetivo: Describir el impacto de un modelo de atención farmacéutica especializada que incluye el seguimiento farmacoterapéutico de los pacientes mediante una plataforma de Telefarmacia y la dispensación de la medicación en el domicilio.

Método: Estudio descriptivo, retrospectivo, llevado a cabo en un servicio de farmacia de un hospital terciario entre el 23 marzo de 2020 y el 31 de diciembre de 2021. Se desarrolló un nuevo modelo de atención farmacéutica para la atención de los pacientes crónicos ambulatorios, que incluye: i) definición de los criterios de selección de los pacientes candidatos a Telefarmacia, ii) estratificación de los pacientes según el nivel de riesgo, iii) definición del seguimiento farmacoterapéutico individualizado, iv) adaptación de la plataforma de apps del servicio de farmacia para garantizar el seguimiento farmacoterapéutico continuo y la monitorización de los pacientes (e-Oncosalud, e-Midcare y farMcuida), v) implantación de un sistema de citación, y vi) el desarrollo de un módulo informático para la gestión de la dispensación y entrega de la medicación en el domicilio. El impacto de este modelo de atención se evaluó mediante el análisis de indicadores de actividad, seguridad, adherencia y calidad percibida. Asimismo, se incluyó un estudio adicional sobre el impacto de la COVID-19 en



Los artículos publicados en esta revista se distribuyen con la licencia Artíceles published in this journal are licensed with a Creative Commons Attribution+NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/ La revista Farmacia no cobra tasas por el envis de trabajos, ni tampoco por la publicación de sus artículos. **Results:** During the study period, 2,737 patients benefited from the new remote pharmaceutical care model. A total of 7,758 Telepharmacy consultations were performed. Pharmacotherapeutic monitoring prevented 1,043 adverse drug reactions, which affected 10.4% of patients (3.6 adverse drug reactions/patient). Mean adherence to treatment was 95.2%. Overall satisfaction with the new model was 9.8/10. All patients would recommend this model to other patients.

Conclusions: The new Pharmaceutical care model increases patient safety and improves treatment adherence, with a high perceived quality. Patient stratification and individualized follow-up via an Telepharmacy platform were crucial to the development of this model.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic caused by the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus has thrown the world into an unprecedented health crisis in our recent history. The protection measures established, along with fear of infection and the risk of developing severe complications led chronic patients to a situation of vulnerability. Thus, the pandemic has limited chronic patients' access to therapeutic care and adherence to treatment¹.

COVID-19 has posed a challenge to Hospital Pharmacy Services, forcing them to rapidly adapt their operating procedures in order to meet the therapeutic needs of their patients. Telepharmacy emerged as an effective strategy for the dispensation of medicines at patient's home, while the pharmacist provides information and tailored follow-up of the administration, adherence, effectiveness, and safety of their treatment².

Telepharmacy is defined as "remote pharmaceutical practice based on the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs)". Telepharmacy may involve activities such as informed dispensing of medication; health team coordination to ensure continuity of care; remote pharmacotherapeutic monitoring according to patients' needs and resources available³.

However, although numerous professional associations recommend Telepharmacy, including the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP)⁴ and the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH)³, among others^{5,6}, its implementation posed an organizational challenge to hospitals^{7,9}.

The purpose of this study is to describe the implementation and impact of an innovative remote Pharmaceutical Care (PC) model that includes an e-Health platform and home dispensing of medicines.

Methods

An observational, descriptive study was carried out to assess the impact of a new model of personalized remote PC from March 23, 2020 to December 31, 2021. This project was developed by the Pharmacy Service (PS) of Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, a center that provides pharmaceutical care services, involving the preparation and delivery of drug therapies for more than 11,800 ambulatory patients.

In March 2020, the Management Board of the PS of the Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, in collaboration with the pharmacists involved in patient care, developed a new PC model to provide PC and deliver medicines to patients at home. This new model includes:

Definition of selection criteria for patient inclusion

Based on the individual, clinical, pharmacotherapeutic and sociocultural needs (autonomy, risk of social exclusion, presence of a caregiver, technological skills and preferences of the patient), all in accordance with the principle of equity. The criteria established included:

- Patients in quarantine for a positive COVID-19 test or close contact with an infected person.
- Patients living alone who cannot travel.
- At-risk patients due to advanced age, plurimorbidity and immunosuppression.
- Nursing home residents.

la accesibilidad de la atención médica y la continuidad de los tratamientos, mediante una encuesta a una muestra aleatoria de 100 pacientes.

Resultados: Durante el periodo de estudio, 2.737 pacientes se han beneficiado del nuevo modelo de atención farmacéutica a distancia. El número de consultas de Telefarmacia realizadas fue 7.758. El seguimiento farmacoterapéutico evitó 1.043 eventos adversos asociados a la medicación, que afectaron al 10,4% de los pacientes atendidos (3,6 eventos adversos asociados a la medicación/paciente). La adherencia media al tratamiento de los pacientes fue del 95,2%. La satisfacción global con el nuevo modelo de atención farmacéutica fue de 9,8/10. El 100% de los pacientes lo recomendaría a otros pacientes.

Conclusiones: Este nuevo modelo de atención farmacéutica aumenta la seguridad del paciente y mejora su adherencia al tratamiento, con unos índices de calidad percibida elevados. La estratificación de pacientes y el seguimiento personalizado mediante la plataforma Telefarmacia resultaron clave en su desarrollo.

 Patients who, for whatever reason, are candidate patients who can benefit from this procedure.

Patient stratification for pharmaceutical care

Patients were stratified by level of risk. Then, a pharmacotherapeutic monitoring model, and periodicity, were tailored to the characteristics of each patient. A set of stratification criteria was established for each condition. In the case of immune mediated diseases, HIV, onco-hematological diseases and multiple sclerosis, the SEFH Ambulatory Patient Stratification Models were adapted¹⁰ (Table 1).

Pharmacotherapeutic monitoring

Two types of remote PC consultations were available, which involved:

- Integral medication review (IMR): information on key aspects of patient's medication and review of the medical history of interest, comorbidities, effectiveness, safety, drug-interactions, quality of life, and patient's satisfaction with the Service of Telepharmacy. Figure 1 displays the model for recording this activity on the medical history of the patient.
- Dispensing follow-up consultation (FC): full medication review, assessment of treatment adherence and tolerance.

To guarantee continuous communication and follow-up of patients, FC was complemented with the use of an e-Health platform available at the Pharmacy Service: the app e-Oncosalud for the management of oncohematologic patients, and eMidCare for patients with immunity-mediated inflammatory diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthropathies, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, among others). The Far/Ncuid app was developed for the management and follow-up of other complex chronic patients, such as patients with HIV and pulmonary hypertension. These apps enable continuous two-way communication with the patient and improve treatment adherence, minimize adverse events and promote self care.

Appointments

For a more effective management of this new pathway, new appointment books were created for PC consultations and medication dispensing. The patient receives an SMS and a letter with the date of appointment for PC and the delivery of medication.

Dispensing

A robot prepares the medication the day before the scheduled date of home dispensing. Along with medication, individualized information about the treatment and procedures of the PS are provided.

Quality control

After the dispensing process has been completed, the pharmacist carries out a quality control. The operator seals the bag that contains the medication to guarantee confidentiality and stores it in optimal storage conditions.

.....

| AREA | STRATIFICATION | CRITERIA | Every 2 months | Every 3 months | Every 4 months | Every 5 months | Every 6 months | Every 7 months | Every 8 months | Every 9 months | Every 10 months | Every 11 months | Every 12 months |
|------------------|----------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Allergy | High risk | Patient compliance with some of these criteria is required: Patients receiving therapeutic and preventive treatment for hereditary angioedema Cognitive deterioration and/or functional dependency Lack of adherence and/or tolerance problems | | FC | ••••••• | • | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Remainder of patients | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Arthropaties | High risk | Adaptation of the stratification model for SEFH patients with immune mediated diseases. Score >25/63: indicates high risk. | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Score <25/63: indicates low risk. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Cardiology | High risk | Patient compliance with some of these criteria is required: Lack of adherence and/or tolerance problems Patients treated with alirocumab/evolocumab in the first year of treatment Patients receiving off-label drugs In PH meeting at least one of the following criteria: CF WHO ≥ 3 Dependent congenital heart diseases Patients receiving triple therapy | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | The remainder of patients not meeting the criteria above. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Dermatology | High risk | Adaptation of the stratification model for SEFH patients with immune mediated diseases. Score >25/63: will indicate high risk. | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Score <25/63: indicates low risk. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Gastroenterology | High risk | Patient compliance with some of these criteria is required: Unhealthy life habits: moderate or heavy use of alcohol or abuse drugs. Mental or behavioral disorders Cognitive deterioration and/or functional dependency Limited social support or limiting socioeconomic conditions Hepatic decompensation Kidney failure Patient undergoing transplantation or transplant receptors Two or more hospitalizations in the previous months Lack of adherence | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | The remainder of patients not meeting the criteria above. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |

Table 1. Patient stratification for pharmaceutical care and periodicity of telematic follow-up



| fable 1 (cont.). Patient stratification for | pharmaceutical care and | d periodicity of telematic f | ollow-up |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|

| AREA | STRATIFICATION | Criteria | Every 2 months | Every 3 months | Every 4 months | Every 5 months | Every 6 months | Every 7 months | Every 8 months | Every 9 months | Every 10 months | Every 11 months | Every 12 months |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Endocrinology | High risk | Patients who meet some of the following criteria: – Cognitive deterioration and/or functional dependency – Lack of adherence and/or tolerance problems Patients in their first year of treatment. | | FC | •••••• | • | IPR | | •••••• | • | | •••••• | |
| | Low risk | The remainder of patients not meeting the criteria above. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Inflammatory Bowel Disease | High risk | Adaptation of the stratification model for SEFH patients with immune mediated diseases. Score >25/63: will indicate high risk. | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Score <25/63: indicates low risk. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Rare diseases | High risk | All patients with rare diseases will be considered high risk patients. | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| Microbiology | High risk | Adaptation of the stratification model for SEFH patients with HIV. Score >7/37: will indicate high risk. | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Score <7/37: indicates low risk. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Nephrology | High risk | Patient compliance with two of the following criteria is required: Age ≥ 70 years Consultation with Nephrology at < 6 month intervals Change of regimen (dose or interval) in the last year Transplant recipients Cognitive deterioration and/or functional dependency Lack of adherence and/or tolerance problems | | | FC | | | | IPR | | | | |
| | Low risk | Patients not meeting high-risk criteria | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Pneumology | High risk | All patients with pulmonary fibrosis will be considered high-risk patients. Patients who meet some of the following criteria: - Cognitive deterioration and/or functional dependency - Lack of adherence and/or tolerance problems | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| Neurology | High risk | Adaptation of the selection and pharmaceutical care model for chronic patients Score >6/38: will indicate high risk. | | FC | | | IPR | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Score <6/38: indicates low risk. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| Ophthalmology | High risk | Patient compliance with some of these criteria is required: Patients with tolerance problems in the previous consultations Adherence below 90% Patients receiving bevacizumab or 5-FU eye drops | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |
| | Low risk | The remainder of patients not meeting the criteria above. | | | | | | | | | | | IPR |

| AREA | STRATIFICATION | CRITERIA | Every 2 months | Every 3 months | Every 4 months | Every 5 months | Every 6 months | Every 7 months | Every 8 months | Every 9 months | Every 10 months | Every 11 months | Every 12 months |
|----------------|----------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Oncohematology | High risk | Adaptation of the stratification model for SEFH cancer patients. Score >15/96: will indicate high risk. | FC | | IPR | | | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | Score <15/96: indicates low risk. | | | FC | | | | IPR | | | | |
| Pediatrics | High risk | Patient compliance with some of these criteria is required: Frequent admissions Plurimorbid Polymedicated patients on complex regimes and frequent changes in regular medication regimes. Patients with sociosanitary problems | FC | | IPR | | | | | | | | |
| | Low risk | The remainder of patients not meeting the criteria above. | | | | | FC | | | | | | IPR |

Table 1 (cont.). Patient stratification for pharmaceutical care and periodicity of telematic follow-up

CF: Functional class; FC: Follow-up consultations; PH: Pulmonary Hypertension; WHO: World Health Organization; SEFH: Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy; IPR: Integral pharmacotherapy review; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus.

Additional pharmaceutical care consultations can be scheduled as needed. For instance, in case of changes of treatment, patients with Lack of disease control/tolerance, patients receiving novel drug therapies requiring a closer follow-up, etc. Likewise, pharmacotherapy monitoring will be complemented at home via the appropriate app (e-Oncosalud, eMidCare, FarMcuida).

Shipping and home delivery

Shipping is performed by a company with experience in the dispensing of medicines that complies with the highest quality standards. Medicines are delivered at the home of patients in working days. Traceability is recorded electronically.

The PS developed a delivery programme called Farhos® for the management of home delivery and their integration into the appointment book of the hospital. This new application developed during the pandemic contains four modules:

- Patient search: module for the identification of candidate patients to home dispensing. The module shows the patients with a home dispensing appointment. This application enables the edition of the actual date of dispensing. Once patients have been selected, they are transferred to the following module (selected patients).
- Selected patients: the module displays the selected patients. The application allows multiple-patient selection to massively print prescriptions and ID labels for shipping, which facilitates the process.
- Patients which medicines has been dispensed: this module performs a shipping traceability analysis. It contains a section to record events, such as cancelled shippings or a color-based visual alert system based.
- Scoreboard: this module makes it possible to monitor the development and performance of the Telepharmacy Service.

Definition of follow-up indicators

A scoreboard of indicators of activity, safety, adherence and perceived quality was developed to evaluate the development and performance of the model.

- Activity was measured according to the number of teleconsultations performed by the patients via telephone, electronic mail or apps.
- The impact of treatment safety was assesses based on the number of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) prevented through remote PM and monitoring via apps. The severity of prevented ADRs was categorized

according to the National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention (NCC ${\sf MERP})^{11}.$

- Treatment adherence was assessed on the basis of delivery records and Morisky-Green tests delivered in each clinical (remote/face-to-face) interview.
- Perceived quality was assessed (anonymously and voluntarily) using a telematic survey (using a QR code created on Google Form) that was sent to patients, along with their medicines. The *ad hoc* survey consisted of an additive rating scale including ten closed questions, which includes factors such as easiness to communicate with the pharmacist, satisfaction with the integrated care model, and level of recommendation. (supplementary material).

To complement these indicators, an observational study was conducted to assess the impact of COVID-19 on access to pharmaceutical care and continuity of treatments in this population of patients. For that purpose, a survey was carried out in a random sample of 100 patients during the 01/04/2021-31/05/2021 period. The interview consisted of 14 questions that assessed the employment status of patients, the presence and severity of previous COVID-19 infection, access to medical consultations, and hospital medication. Likewise, treatment adherence was assessed using the Morisky-Green test and delivery records.

Results

During the study period, 2,737 patients benefited from the new remote PC model. A total of 7,758 telematic consultations were performed, including 1,230 consultations performed via apps (Table 2).

The most frequently consultations via apps were mostly related to the management of AEDs (21.2%) and compatibility of the treatment with concomitant medication (13.6%). Consultations directly linked to COVID-19 account for 11.5%, and time distribution was directly related to the waves of the pandemic. The most frequent reasons of consultation were doubts about the prevention and management of COVID-19 (7.1%) and the indication and compatibility of COVID-19 vaccines with the treatment (3.4%).



Figure 1. Structured pharmaceutical care record on medical history.

| PHARMACEUTICAL CARE (INTEGRAL | MEDICATION REVIEW) |
|---|---|
| Reason of consultation: | |
| Face-to-face/telephone/telematic pl | harmaceutical care consultation. |
| Stratification group for pharmacothe | erapeutic follow-up: High/low risk |
| Indication: | |
| It is confirmed that the patient is rec | ceiving hospital medication with: |
| History of interest/comorbidities: | |
| Known drug allergies: | |
| Laboratory analysis: | |
| Other concomitant treatments, inclu | uding herbal products: Indicate active substance/dose/interval |
| Presence of clinically relevant in offered) /No | teractions: Yes (indicate type –Lexicomp– and recommendation |
| Assessment of tolerance to treatment | nt: |
| The patient does not report any ad reactions: | verse drug reactions/The patient reports the following adverse drug |
| The following recommendations are | issued: |
| Assessment of adherence (Morinsky | /-Green): |
| Do you sometimes forget to take you Do you take your medicines at the ir When your disease is well controlled If you experience adverse events, do | ur medicines? No/Yes ndicated times? No/Yes d, do you stop taking your medicines? No/Yes o you stop taking your medicine? No/Yes |
| Adherence according to the record of | of dispensations: |
| Assessment of quality of life: | |
| Mobility=; Personal care=; daily life a | activities=; Pain/discomfort; Anxiety/depression=; VAS= |
| Assessment of satisfaction with the | service of informed home dispensing of medicines (VAS): |
| Other comments: The patient is informed of the releva route of administration, and potenti In case of any doubt related to the t | ince of keeping adherent to their treatment, as well as on the storage, al adverse drug reactions related to their medication. reatment, the patient will contact the Pharmacy Service. |
| Signed Pharmacy Service | |

Table 2. Results for activity and perceived quality indicators

| INDICATORS OF ACTIVITY | |
|---|-----------------|
| Number of patients included in the Telepharmacy program including home delivery of medicines2(% respect to the total of ambulatory patients attended)(2 | 2,737 23.1%) |
| Patient distribution by clinical area (%) | |
| Immune mediated diseases 2 | 27.6% |
| Oncohematology 1 | 16.8% |
| Neurology 1 | 13.5% |
| Infectious | 8.7% |
| Gastroenterology | 6.7% |
| Cardiology | 6.1% |
| Pediatrics | 5.5% |
| Ophthalmology | 5.5% |
| Nephrology | 4.6% |
| Other | 4.9% |
| % of patients included in the program living > 30 km from the hospital 2 | 29.5% |
| No. teleconsultations performed | 7,758 |
| No. of consultations received via app | 1,230 |
| No. of face-to-face pharmaceutical care consultations | 1,921 |
| Total of pharmaceutical care consultations (teleconsultations and face-to-face) | 9,679 |
| % of teleconsultations with respect to total 7 | 75.2% |
| No. of dipensations performed 10 | 0,049 |
| INDICATORS OF SAFETY | |
| No. of prevented adverse drug reactions (ADRs) | 1,043 |
| No. of prevented ADRs that may potentially cause temporary damage or require intervention (NCC MERP = E category) | 501 |
| No. of prevented ADRs that may potentially cause temporary damage or require hospital admission (NCC MERP = F category) | 141 |
| No. of prevented ADRs that may potentially cause permanent damage (NCC MERP = G category) | 51 |
| No. of prevented ADRs that do not cause any damage (NCC MERP = C/D category) | 350 |
| INDICATORS OF THERAPEUTIC ADHERENCE | |
| % Overall adherence 9 | 95.2% |
| % Adherence by disease | |
| Patients with ophthalmological diseases 9 | 98.8% |
| Patients with infectious diseases 9 | 96.7% |
| Patients with gastroenterological diseases 9 | 96.5% |
| Patients with immune mediated diseases 9 | 96.4% |
| Patients with multiple sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis 9 | 95.9% |
| Onco-hematologic patients 9 | 95.2% |
| Patients with heart diseases 9 | 92.4% |
| Pediatric patients 9 | 90.2% |
| Patients with chronic kidney disease/transplant recipients 9 | 90.0% |
| INDICATORS OF PERCEIVED QUALITY | |
| % of patients satisfied with the integral telehealth model 9 | 98.4% |
| % of patients satisfied with the apps 9 | 97.9% |
| % patients who would recommend the service to other patients 10 | 00% |
| Overall rate of satisfaction with the Telepharmacy service | 9.8 |







Remote PM prevented 1,043 ADRs, which affected 27.9% of patients. In total, 18.4% of ADRs could have had severe effects on the patient (NCC MERP \geq F categories). Mean adherence to treatment was 95.2%.

The overall satisfaction rate in relation to this model, as reported by 417 patients, was 9.8/10. In total, 98.4% of patients were satisfied with this new service, and 97.9% with the use of the apps. All patients would recommend this integral Telepharmacy service to other patients. The aspects most appreciated by patients included the 7/365 availability of pharmaceutical care and PM and the rapidity of response by the pharmacist to answer questions.

Figure 2 displays the specific results of the study on the impact of COVID-19 on the health services provided to these patients. According to this study, no patients had any problems to receive their medication as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and only 25 of patients had stopped taking their medication for fear of having a higher risk for infection. Ninety-six percent of the patients surveyed showed an optimal treatment adherence, which is confirmed by delivery records and the Morisky-Green test.

Discussion

This article describes the implementation of a Telepharmacy model developed to ensure pharmacotherapeutic monitoring and access to treatment by more than 2,500 patients. This model prevented over 1,000 ADRs in a period of 20 months, and was effective in maintaining high treatment adherence rates in most of patients.

According to the national survey conducted by the Platform of Patient Organizations in 529 patients with chronic diseases during the first months of the pandemic, 69% of the patients cancelled, at least once, a medical consultation due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Near 25% of patients missed a dose, and 8.5% reported having been out of medication during the state of alarm¹². According to our study, no patients found any difficulty in continuing their treatment, and only 2% stopped taking their medication for fear of having a higher risk for infection.

In our case, patient stratification and tailored PM through an e-Health platform were key to guaranteeing the continuity of pharmaceutical care and treatment adherence. Patient stratification enables the Pharmacy Service to intensify PC in vulnerable patients needing close PM. App-based monitoring facilitated follow-up and communication. Patients could consult their pharmacist at any time of the day, who provided recommendations and simple tools to improve tolerance and adherence to treatment. Specifically, this project prevented 1,043 ADRs in 10.4% of patients, with 18% being severe.

With respect to the use of ICTs, the pandemic has been a turning point in the use of these tools, which offer a broad variety of advantages for patient monitoring. A recent research demonstrated a 64% increase in the demand for home health services due to the pandemic. It is estimated that this percentage will be seven times higher by 2025¹³. In the field of Hospital Pharmacy, experiences with Telepharmacy are growing in number. According to the survey on the situation of Telepharmacy in PS in Spain during the pandemic¹⁴, 87.6% of hospitals performed teleconsultations prior to the dispensing of their medication. Several studies confirm that teleconsultations improve health outcomes. Margusino-Framiñán *et al.* observed that medication dispensing together with PC consultation improves health outcomes in patients with HIV¹⁵. Likewise, Megías-Vericat *et al.* observed that 95% of patients with hemophilia perceived that the PC and home delivery program improved adherence to treatment¹⁶.

⁵ Finally, this model received a satisfaction rate of 9.8 points out of 10 on the survey. User satisfaction with the app and home dispensing service exceeded 97% in the two cases, which is consistent with the literature^{2,14,16,17}. The ENOPEX study conducted by the SEFH in 9,442 patients revealed that 91% preferred continuing with the service of Telepharmacy after the suspension of the state of alarm¹⁶. According to the 'Stada Health Report', 82% of Spaniards is in favor of using teleconsultations for clinical follow-up¹⁸.

The development of this project has been a challenge for this PS from an organizational and resource point of view. In this sense, the collaboration of the Managing Board of the hospital, technology suppliers of the PH, health professionals and patients were crucial for its implementation.

The new model of Telepharmacy and automatization of PC increases patient safety and improves treatment adherence, with high perceived quality. Patient stratification and individualized follow-up via an e-health platform were crucial to the development of this model.

Funding

No funding.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to SEFH, Fundación Once and GastoMove for their unvaluable and generous support.

Conflict of interests

No conflicts of interest.

Contribution to the scientific literature

This paper describes an innovative model of remote pharmaceutical care that includes patient stratification, personalized pharmacotherapeutic monitoring via an e-health platform, and medication dispensing. The new Telepharmacy care model increases patient safety and improves treatment adherence, with high perceived quality.



SUPPLEMENTARY FILES

1. Satisfaction survey for the new pharmaceutical care model.

| 1. | Have you been informed by your hospital pharmacist of the possibility of having medication sent to your home? |
|----|---|
| | Yes |
| | No (please indicate how):) |
| 2. | Did you have any questions related to your treatment while the state of emergency was in force? |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| 3. | If you did have questions, was the information provided by the pharmacist helpful? |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| 4 | In my case, my questions were resolved by another healthcare provider |
| 4. | How do you reel about the treatment offered by the pharmacist who assisted you on the phone? |
| | Highly satisfied |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied |
| | Dissatisfied |
| | Very dissatisfied |
| 5. | Were the agreed deadlines for medication delivery met? |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| 6. | Are you satisfied with theprocess followed to deliver the medication at your home? |
| | Highly satisfied |
| | Satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied |
| | Dissatisfied |
| | Very dissatisfied |
| 7. | Would you recommend this service to other people in the same situation? |
| | Yes |
| | |
| 0 | Would you like this Service to continue in the future? |
| 0. | |
| | Ves No. |
| | |
| | |
| 9. | Please provide your overall assessment of the care received by the pharmacy department |
| | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 10 | Would you like to make any suggestions? |

Bibliography

- Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Pharmacy Department management and organization [internet]. Revista Farmacia Hospitalaria. 2020 [accessed 10/20/2022]. Available at: https://www.sefh.es/fh/ 196_03especial0111514ing.pdf
- Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Pharmaceutical care to hospital outpatients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Telepharmacy. [internet]. Revista Farmacia Hospitalaria. 2020 [accessed 10/20/2022]. Available at: https://www.sefh.es/ fh/196_16especial1511498ing.pdf.
- Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Proyecto Mapex (Mapa Estratégico de Atención al Paciente Externo). Marco estratégico en Telefarmacia [internet]. Madrid; mayo 2020 [accessed 02/07/2022]. Available at: https://www.sefh. es/mapex/images/Telefarmacia_SEFH.pdf
- Alexander E, Butler CD, Darr A, Jenkins MT, Long RD, Shipman CJ, et al. ASHP Statement on Telepharmacy. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2017;74(9):e236-41. DOI: 10.2146/ajhp170039
- Canadian Society of Hospital Pharmacist. Telepharmacy Guidelines [internet]. Ottawa; 2017 [accessed 02/07/2022]. Available at: https://www.telemedecine-360.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/2018-CSHP-Telepharmacy-Guidelines.pdf
- US National Association of Boards of Pharmacy. Telepharmacy: the new frontier of patient care and professional practice [internet]. Mount Prospect; 2017 [accessed 02/07/2022]. Available at: https://nabp.pharmacy/wp-content/uploads/ 2016/07/Innovations_June_July_Final.pdf
- 7. Baldoni S, Amenta F, Ricci G. Telepharmacy Services: Present Status and Future Perspectives: A Review. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019;55(7):E327. DOI: 10.3390/ medicina55070327
- Poudel A, Nissen LM. Telepharmacy: a pharmacist's perspective on the clinical benefits and challenges. Integr Pharm Res Pract. 2016;5:75-82. DOI: 10.2147/ IPRP.S101685
- Unni EJ, Patel K, Beazer IR, Hung M. Telepharmacy during COVID-19: A Scoping Review. Pharmacy (Basel). 2021;9(4):183. DOI: 10.3390/pharmacy9040183
- Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Modelos de estratificación a pacientes. Mapa estratégico de Atención Farmacéutica al paciente externo [Inter-

net] [accessed 02/07/2022]. Available at: https://www.sefh.es/mapex/index.php/documentacion

- Cousins DD, Heath WM. The National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention: promoting patient safety and quality through innovation and leadership. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2008;34(12):700-2. DOI: 10.1016/ s1553-7250(08)34091-4
- Plataforma de Organizaciones de Pacientes. Estudio del impacto de COVID-19 en las personas con enfermedad crónica. Informe de resultados [internet] [accessed 02/12/2022]. Available at: https://covid.plataformadepacientes.org/
- Frost & Sullivan 2020. Telehealth-A Technology-Based Weapon in the War against the Coronavirus. Social Distancing Needs will Present Both Growth Opportunities and Challenges [internet] [accessed 02/13/2022]. Available at: https://go.frost.com/NA_PR_TH_MFernandez_K488_Telehealth_ May20
- Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Encuesta de situación de telefarmacia. [web page]. Madrid: 2020 [accessed 02/07/2022]. Available at: https://www.sefh.es/encuesta-telefarmacia-durante-pandemia-covid-19/informes/ todas-las-comunidades-resultado-encuesta.pdf
- Margusino-Framiñán L, Fernández-Llamazares CM, Negro-Vega E, Tortajada-Goitia B, Lizeaga G, Mercadal-Orfila G, et al. Outpatients' Opinion And Experience Regarding Telepharmacy During The COVID-19 Pandemic: The Enopex Project. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2021;14:3621-32. DOI: 10.2147/JMDH.S343528
- Megías-Vericat JE, Monte-Boquet E, Martín-Cerezuela M, Cuéllar-Monreal MJ, Tarazona-Casany MV, Pérez-Huertas P, *et al.* Pilot evaluation of home delivery programme in haemophilia. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2018;43(6):822-8. DOI: 10.1111/jcpt.12718
- 17. Margusino-Framiñán L, Cid-Silva P, Castro-Iglesias Á, Mena-de-Cea Á, Rodríguez-Osorio I, Pernas-Souto B, et al. Teleconsultation for the Pharmaceutical Care of HIV Outpatients in Receipt of Home Antiretrovirals Delivery: Clinical, Economic, and Patient-Perceived Quality Analysis. Telemed J E Health. 2019;25(5):399-406. DOI: 10.1089/tmj.2018.0041
- Stada Health Report 2020. Do All Roads Lead to Health? How Europe Moves Towards the Future [internet] [accessed 02/12/2022]. Available at: https://www. stada.com/media/health-report/health-report-2020