



Brief report

## Presence of metallic components in transdermal drug delivery systems and risk of skin burns

Ana de Lorenzo-Pinto<sup>a,\*</sup>, Carmen Redondo-Galán<sup>a</sup>, Xandra García-González<sup>a</sup>, Carmen Fernández-Álvarez<sup>b</sup>, Juan Andueza-Lillo<sup>c</sup> and María Sanjurjo-Sáez<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Servicio de Radiodiagnóstico, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Servicio de Urgencias, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 12 July 2023

Accepted 6 November 2023

Available online xxxx

*Keywords:*

Medication safety

Patient safety

Quality improvement

Safety culture

Transdermal drug delivery systems

### A B S T R A C T

**Objective:** Skin burns are associated with the presence of metallic components in transdermal drug delivery systems during Magnetic Resonance Imaging, cardioversion, or defibrillation procedures.

The aim of the study was to review the presence of metallic components in marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems in Spain.

**Method:** For each pharmaceutical form, the summary of product characteristics was reviewed. If the information was not provided, manufacturers were contacted.

**Results:** We identified 59 marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems of 12 different active substances.

59.3% of patches contained metallic components or their presence could not be ruled out. Information regarding the need to remove the patch was only included in 8 summaries of product characteristics (13.6%)

A table was elaborated and included the following aspects: product, active substance, manufacturer, need to remove the patch before the exposure to magnetic or electric fields, and references.

**Conclusion:** More than a half of the patches at the time of the study contained metals or their absence could not be confirmed by the manufacturer. However, this information was only included in 13.6% of summaries of product characteristics.

© 2023 Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Presencia de partículas metálicas en parches transdérmicos de medicamentos y riesgo de quemaduras

### R E S U M E N

**Objetivo:** La presencia de partículas metálicas en los parches transdérmicos de medicamentos se ha asociado con riesgo de quemaduras en la piel cuando los pacientes son sometidos a una resonancia magnética, cardioversión eléctrica o desfibrilación.

Por este motivo, el objetivo del trabajo fue analizar la presencia de partículas metálicas en los parches transdérmicos de medicamentos comercializados en España.

**Método:** De cada presentación comercial, se revisó la ficha técnica para comprobar la presencia de estas partículas en su composición. Si no constaba, entonces se contactó con el laboratorio fabricante.

**Resultados:** Se identificaron 59 presentaciones comerciales de 12 principios activos diferentes. Un 59,3% contenía partículas metálicas o la presencia de las mismas no se pudo descartar. Únicamente, en 8 fichas técnicas (13,6%) constaba la advertencia de retirar el parche cuando el paciente es sometido a alguno de estos procedimientos.

Se elaboró una tabla que incluyó los siguientes aspectos: principio activo, presentación comercial, laboratorio fabricante, necesidad de retirar el parche cuando el paciente es sometido a la exposición de un campo magnético o eléctrico y referencias.

*Palabras clave:*

Seguridad del medicamento

Seguridad del paciente

Mejora de la calidad

Cultura de seguridad

Parches transdérmicos de medicamentos

\* Corresponding author at: Servicio de Farmacia, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Calle Doctor Esquerdo 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain.

E-mail address: [ana.lorenzo@salud.madrid.org](mailto:ana.lorenzo@salud.madrid.org) (A. de Lorenzo-Pinto).

**Conclusión:** Más de la mitad de los parches comercializados contenían compuestos metálicos o su presencia no pudo descartarse por el laboratorio fabricante. Sin embargo, esta información sólo constaba en un 13,6% de las fichas técnicas.

© 2023 Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria (S.E.F.H). Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

In 2009, the FDA warned about possible skin burns due to transdermal drug delivery systems with metallic components that are maintained during Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), cardioversion, or defibrillation procedures.<sup>1</sup> In this sense, 2 adverse events were reported in which patients who were wearing a nicotine transdermal patch during an MRI experienced burns.<sup>2</sup>

Transdermal drug delivery systems with metallic components can be electrically conductive. Some patches are formulated with an aluminized backing that could potentially cause injury to the patient if worn during these procedures. MRI systems require the use of radiofrequency (RF) pulses to create the magnetic resonance signal. When conducting materials (i.e., aluminized backing) are placed within the RF field, the result may be a concentration of electrical currents sufficient to cause excessive heating and tissue damage.<sup>3,4</sup>

Despite the importance of this issue, information regarding the presence of conductive materials in the packaging of transdermal patches is usually lacking.

For this reason, the aim of the study was to review the presence of metallic components in marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems.

## Methods

In December 2022, marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems were identified using the Spanish Agency for Medicines and Medical Devices database (<https://cima.aemps.es/cima/publico/home.html>).

For each product, 2 clinical pharmacists from a tertiary hospital reviewed the summary of product characteristics (SmPC) to confirm the need to remove the patch during MRI or other procedures. This information is usually specified as “the patch should be removed before the exposure to magnetic or electric fields” in section “4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use”. If this information was not given, the next step was to check section “6.1 List of excipients” to confirm the presence of metallic components. If they were not specified or they were only present in the liner, manufacturers were contacted by email. They were asked to confirm the presence of metals that could cause skin burns during MRI or other procedures. If no response was received after 1 month, a second follow-up was sent. If no response, the information was registered as “No reply from the manufacturer”.

This research did not include human participants and did not require ethical approval.

## Results

We identified 59 marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems of 12 different active substances.

Information regarding the need to remove the patch before an MRI or other procedures was only included in 8 SmPCs (13.6%).

59.3% of patches contained metallic components or their absence could not be confirmed by the manufacturer (33.9% and 25.4%, respectively) (Table 1).

A table was elaborated and included the following aspects: active substance, product, manufacturer, need to remove the patch before the exposure to magnetic or electric fields, and references (Table 1).

## Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study that analyzes the presence of metallic components in marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems. Some authors have alerted about the risk of serious skin burns associated with the use of patches but none of them have carried out a comprehensive review of their composition.<sup>3,4</sup>

Several safety issues have been notified with the use of transdermal drug delivery systems, such as errors in the frequency of application or overdosing after cutting the patch.<sup>5,6</sup> The need to remove transdermal patches with metallic content before some procedures to prevent skin burns is not well known and could put patients at risk. It is of paramount importance that patients and healthcare professionals be aware of this precaution. In this sense, Radiology, Cardiology, and Emergency Departments should develop safety recommendations regarding the use of patches for patients receiving an MRI, cardioversion, or defibrillation procedures. In our institution, protocols were updated with the information obtained from this review. Currently, patients are questioned about the use of any transdermal patch and, unless it is certain that the patch does not contain metal, patients are counseled to remove it temporarily to avoid unnecessary burns. We believe that the data we compiled can be useful in other healthcare environments to prevent patient harm.

Surprisingly, only 13.6% of available SmPCs included the precaution to remove the patch before an MRI. However, in more than half of the pharmaceutical forms, metallic components were present or could not be excluded. We believe that this cautionary information should be compulsorily included in SmPCs whenever a new patch is authorized by a regulatory agency. The document published by the EMA “A Guideline on Summary of Product Characteristics” establishes that the content of section “4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use” of SmPC should include any information regarding a specific risk when this risk leads to a precaution for use or when healthcare professionals have to be warned of this risk, in our case, skin burns when the patient undergoes an MRI or other procedures.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, in section 6.1, all ingredients of the patch (including the adhesive, release liner, and backing film) should be mentioned. However, we found several cases (i.e., Buprenorphine SANDOZ) in which the SmPC did not mention any aluminized component but the manufacturer confirmed its presence.

The main limitation of this study is that the review was performed for transdermal drug delivery systems that were marketed at the time of the study.

## Conclusions

More than a half of the patches at the time of the study contained metals or their absence could not be confirmed by the manufacturer. However, this information was only included in 13.6% of SmPC.

## Contribution to scientific literature

- Skin burns are associated with the presence of metallic components in transdermal drug delivery systems during Magnetic Resonance Imaging, cardioversion, or defibrillation procedures.

**Table 1**

Recommendations regarding the use of transdermal drug delivery systems before the exposure to magnetic or electric fields.

Active substance	Product	Manufacturer	Need to remove the patch before the exposure to magnetic or electric fields	Reference
Buprenorphine	BUPRENORPHINE SANDOZ	Sandoz Farmaceutica	Yes	Manufacturer
Buprenorphine	BUPRENORPHINE STADA	Stada	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Buprenorphine	FELIBEN	Gebro Pharma	Yes	Manufacturer
Buprenorphine	TRANSTEC	Grünenthal Pharma	No	Manufacturer
Buprenorphine	BUPRENORPHINE ANDROMACO	Andromaco Pharma	No reply from the manufacturer	-
Buprenorphine	BUPRENORPHINE RATIOPHARM	Ratiopharm	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Buprenorphine	BUPRENORPHINE TEVA	Teva Pharma	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Buprenorphine	GEXANA	Aristo Pharma Iberia	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Capsaicin	QUTENZA	Grunenthal Gmbh	No	Manufacturer
Estradiol	ESTRADERM	Merus Labs Luxco II	Yes	Manufacturer
Estradiol	ESTRADOT	Novartis	No	Manufacturer
Estradiol	EVOPAD	Theramex Ireland Limited	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Estradiol, norethisterone	ESTALIS SEQUIDOT	Novartis	No	Manufacturer
Ethinylestradiol, norelgestromin	EVRA	Gedeon Richter Plc.	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	DUROGESIC	Janssen-Cilag	Yes	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX CINFA	Cinfa	Yes	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX NORMON	Normon	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX SANDOZ	Sandoz	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX STADA	Stada	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	DOLOXITAL	Aristo Pharma Iberia	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	DURFENTA	Lavipharm	No reply from the manufacturer	-
Fentanyl	FENDIVIA	Takeda	Yes	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL AUROVITAS	Aurovitas	Yes	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX ARISTO	Aristo Pharma Iberia	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX KERN PHARMA	Kern Pharma	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX MYLAN	Mylan Pharmaceuticals	Yes	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX RATIOPHARM	Ratiopharm	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	FENTANYL MATRIX ZENTIVA	Zentiva K.S.	No	Manufacturer
Fentanyl	MATRIFEN	Takeda	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Nicotine	NICOTINELL	Glaxosmithkline	Yes	SmPC
Nicotine	NICORETTE CLEAR	Johnson and Johnson	Yes	SmPC
Nicotine	NIQUITIN CLEAR	Perrigo España	No	Manufacturer
Nitroglycerin	DERMATRANS	Casen Recordati.	Yes	SmPC
Nitroglycerin	NITRODERM TTS	Novartis	Yes	SmPC
Nitroglycerin	CORDIPLAST	Merus Labs Luxco II	No	SmPC
Nitroglycerin	EPINITRIL	Mylan Ire Healthcare Limited	Yes	SmPC
Nitroglycerin	MINITRAN	Meda Pharma	No	SmPC
Nitroglycerin	NITROFIX	Arafarma Group	No	Manufacturer
Nitroglycerin	NITROPLAST	Lacer	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Nitroglycerin	TRINIPATCH	Ferrer Internacional	No	Manufacturer
Oxybutynin	KENTERA	Teva	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	EXELON	Novartis	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE CINFA	Cinfa	No	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE SANDOZ	Sandoz	No	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE STADA	Stada	Yes	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE TECNIGEN	Tecnimed España Industria Farmaceutica	No	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	ALZERTA DAILY	Esteve Pharmaceuticals	Yes	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	ALZERTA TWICE A WEEK	Esteve Pharmaceuticals	No	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	PROMETAX	Novartis	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVANEX	Neuraxpharm Spain	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE ARISTO	Aristo Pharma Iberia	Yes	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE AUROVITAS	Aurovitas	Yes	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE KERN PHARMA	Kern Pharma	No	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE MYLAN	Mylan Pharmaceuticals	Yes	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE RATIOPHARM	Ratiopharm	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE STADAFARMA	Stada	Yes	Manufacturer
Rivastigmine	RIVASTIGMINE TEVA	Teva Pharma	Manufacturer did not have the information	Manufacturer
Rotigotine	NEUPRO	Ucb Pharma	Yes	SmPC
Salicylic acid	VERRUPATCH	Laboratorios Viñas	No	Manufacturer

SmPC: Summary of Product Characteristics.

- To our knowledge, this is the first study that analyzes the presence of metals in marketed products of transdermal drug delivery systems.

- Information regarding the need to remove the patch before an MRI or other procedures was only included in 13.6% of the summaries of product characteristics.

- We believe that this comprehensive review could be useful to every healthcare environment to prevent harm when a patient needs to undergo any of these procedures.

### Declaration of authorship

- Ana de Lorenzo-Pinto: conception and design of the work, data collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, writing of the article and approval of the final version for publication.

- Carmen Redondo-Galán: data collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, writing of the article and approval of the final version for publication.

- Xandra García-González: data collection, critical revision with important intellectual contributions, writing of the article and approval of the final version for publication.

- Carmen Fernández-Álvarez: critical revision with important intellectual contributions and approval of the final version for publication.

- Juan Andueza-Lillo: critical review with important intellectual contributions and approval of the final version for publication.

- María Sanjurjo-Sáez: critical revision with important intellectual contributions and approval of the final version for publication.

#### Funding

None.

#### Ethical considerations

None.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Ana de Lorenzo-Pinto:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Carmen Redondo-Galán:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft. **Xandra García-González:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. **Carmen Fernández-Álvarez:** Investigation, Supervision, Validation. **Juan Andueza-Lillo:** Supervision, Validation. **María Sanjurjo-Sáez:** Supervision, Validation.

#### Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

#### Acknowledgments

None.

#### References

1. Kuehn BM. FDA warning: remove drug patches before MRI to prevent burns to skin. *JAMA*. 2009;301(13):1328. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.406.
2. Transdermal Patches and Burns. *U.S. Pharmacist*; 2009 [Accessed 28 Feb 2023]. Available at: <https://www.uspharmacist.com/article/transdermal-patches-and-burns>.
3. Aluminium-containing transdermal patches: a risk of burns. *Rev Prescrire*. 2007;27(281):191–2 [Accessed 2 Mar 2023]. Available at: <https://english.prescrire.org/en/2E62ABC05706E3A64FCCF856E1267F12/Download.aspx>.
4. Transdermal Medicine Patches - Risk of Burns During MRI Scans. MEDSAFE · New Zealand Medicines and Medical Devices Safety Authority; 2010 [Accessed 25 Mar 2023]. Available at: <https://www.medsafe.govt.nz/profs/PUArticles/TransdermalMedicineSept10.htm>.
5. Analysis of Transdermal Medication Patch Errors Uncovers a "Patchwork" of Safety Challenges. Institute for Safe Medication Practices; 2021 [Accessed 25 Feb 2023]. Available at: <https://www.ismp.org/resources/analysis-transdermal-medication-patch-errors-uncovers-patchwork-safety-challenges>.
6. Using Transdermal Patches Safely in Healthcare Settings. Specialist Pharmacy Services. NHS; 2022 [Accessed 2 Mar 2023]. Available at: <https://www.sps.nhs.uk/articles/using-transdermal-patches-safely-in-healthcare-settings/>.
7. A Guideline on Summary of Product Characteristics. [Accessed 1 Mar 2023]. Available at: [https://health.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2016-11/smpc\\_guideline\\_rev2\\_en\\_0.pdf](https://health.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2016-11/smpc_guideline_rev2_en_0.pdf).